IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION

CASE NO. 94-08273 CA (22)

HOWARD A. ENGLE, M.D.,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO
COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Miami-Dade County Courthouse Miami, Florida 9:35 a.m. November 12, 1998

TRIAL - VOLUME 130

The above-styled cause came on for trial before the Honorable Robert Paul Kaye, Circuit Judge, pursuant to notice.

APPEARANCES:

STANLEY M. ROSENBLATT, ESQ. SUSAN ROSENBLATT, ESQ. On behalf of Plaintiffs

DECHERT PRICE & RHOADS
ROBERT C. HEIM, ESQ.
SEAN P. WAJERT, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

COLL DAVIDSON CARTER SMITH SALTER & BARKETT NORMAN A. COLL, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

ZACK KOSNITZKY
STEPHEN N. ZACK, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Philip Morris

CARLTON FIELDS WARD EMMANUEL SMITH & CUTLER R. BENJAMINE REID, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant R.J. Reynolds

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE
JAMES R. JOHNSON, ESQ.
RICHARD M. KIRBY, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant R.J. Reynolds

KING & SPALDING
MICHAEL RUSS, ESQ.
RICHARD A. SCHNEIDER, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Brown & Williamson

CLARKE SILVERGLATE WILLIAMS & MONTGOMERY KELLY ANNE LUTHER, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendants Liggett Group and Brooke Group

SHOOK HARDY & BACON
EDWARD A. MOSS, ESQ.
WILLIAM P. GERAGHTY, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Brown & Williamson
JAMES T. NEWSOM, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Lorillard

(APPEARANCES - Continued)

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON
ANNE COHEN, ESQ.
JOSEPH R. MOODHE, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant The Council for Tobacco

Research

GREENBERG TRAURIG HOFFMAN LIPOFF ROSEN & QUENTEL DAVID L. ROSS, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Lorillard

MARTINEZ & GUTIERREZ

JOSE MARTINEZ, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendant Dosal Tobacco Corp.
and Tobacco Institute

KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN
AARON MARKS, ESQ.
On behalf of Defendants Liggett Group
and Brooke Group

INDEX

WITNESS JESSE L. STEINFELD, M.D.	PAGE
Direct by Mr. Rosenblatt	14317
EXHIBITS	
PLAINTIFFS' OFFERED ADMITTED EXHIBITS PAGE PAGE	_
1894	14351
1896	14351
ЕХНІВІТЅ	
DEFENDANTS' OFFERED ADMITTED EXHIBITS PAGE PAGE	_

(None)

1	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had:)
2	THE COURT: Good morning. Have a seat.
3	What's on the agenda?
4	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay, Judge.
5	We have as a witness, and the witness is
6	here, Dr. Jesse Steinfeld, who's a former Surgeon
7	General of the United States. I don't expect that
8	Dr. Steinfeld is going to take, you know, all day or
9	nearly all day.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. ROSENBLATT: So what my plan is,
12	yesterday we did take the video deposition of
13	Dr. William Grossman, the cardiologist from the
14	University of California. He could only be here
15	yesterday. Since, you know, court was closed, we did
16	that.
17	Now, obviously, I would want to occupy the
18	rest of the day showing his video deposition. And
19 has	we we have a cassette where all of the colloquy
20	been removed. You know, not all of the objections,
but	been removed. Tou know, not all of the objections,
21	all of the colloquy. Not that there was that much.
22	So, the deposition is not very long. So, I
23 could	don't know if you want to go over that now, or I
	gimply hand you the deposition and you know
24	simply hand you the deposition and, you know
25 have	THE COURT: Let's put it that way. You

1	a transcript for me, right?
2	MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes.
We'll	THE COURT: Let me get the transcript.
4	put this witness on. I'll review the transcript and
5	see if there's anything we really need to talk about
6	prior to the time that you show the video.
7	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay.
8	MR. REID: Judge, I was at the deposition,
9 court	and they could not get us the copy yesterday, the
10	reporter that Mr. Rosenblatt used. It had to be this
11	morning. I just got it ten minutes ago.
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13 made	MR. REID: I'll have some objections. I
14	some form objections.
15	THE COURT: I'll review it.
16	MR. REID: I want to take an opportunity to
17	point out my objections, and I'll do it once the
18	witness is on.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. REID: I assume all of the objections
21	will be taken out of the tape, as well. I asked that
22	yesterday when Mr. Rosenblatt was going to have
23	THE COURT: Sometimes yes, sometimes no.
24	Under the circumstances, the objections are still
25	there in other words, somebody is going to say

	1	objection, either form or something. I guess there
	2	were no speaking objections.
objections.	3	MR. REID: There were no speaking
	4	All form. Those should be removed. I asked
	5	THE COURT: It's difficult.
	6	MR. REID: We tried to do it in such a way
	7	I told the witness I'd raise my hand so he would stop
	8	talking and there would be a break, because I know we
	9	were trying to do this today.
really	10	THE COURT: What happened is you get a
	11	good operator who would hit the sound off button.
	12	MR. REID: Sure, if he's that good.
	13	MR. ROSENBLATT: Here's the videographer.
	14	We'll ask him if that's the case.
the	15	What they're concerned about is removing
	16	objections.
"objection."	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Just the word
objection,	18	THE COURT: Sometimes they'll say
	19	form.
	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: If it is between the
	21	question and answer, it's not a problem.
	22	THE COURT: Sometimes it is.
	23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sometimes there's
	24	overspeaking.
	25	THE COURT: I haven't had much problem with

1	even leaving the objections in.
2	MR. REID: Well, Your Honor
3	THE COURT: Assuming they were sustained,
4	then the question and the answer would be removed,
5	but
6	MR REID: Your Honor, I tried to do it in
7	such a way we could remove them because we feel they
8	shouldn't be in there. They're distracting.
9	THE COURT: Why not? They're here when the
10	person testifies live.
11	MR. REID: I understand we have the ability
one 12	to cut it out. I think we can do that. If there's
we	or two that speak over, that's one thing. I suggest
14 misses	have the operator try to do it if we can. If he
15	one, we'll deal with that problem.
16 tape	THE COURT: Let's find out how long the
17	runs, if you know.
18 minutes.	MR. ROSENBLATT: Two hours and three
19 transcript	THE COURT: Let me run through the
20	and see what goes.
21	Yes, sir.
22	MR. HEIM: Your Honor, there is one issue

few	24	testimony, and we need to excuse the witness for a
	25	minutes while we take up that one issue.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14248		
would	1	THE COURT: All right. Doctor, if you
	2	just step outside for a few minutes.
	3	Thank you.
	4	(The witness exited the courtroom.)
	5	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
	6	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, when we called
	7	Dr. Steinfeld to testify in Broin, there was a
could	8	discussion before his testimony about whether he
	9	testify as to the contents of an alleged letter that
	10	was supposedly written by the president of R.J.
	11	Reynolds Tobacco Company to President Nixon.
	12	THE COURT: Oh, yes.
	13	MR. KIRBY: And based on that, there was
	14	extensive discussion about that previously. And if
19 to.	15	Your Honor wants me to go through it, I'll be happy
if	16	THE COURT: Where is it in the transcript,
	17	you know?
	18	MR. KIRBY: Pardon me?
	19	THE COURT: Where is it in the transcript?
	20	MR. MOSS: For Dr. Steinfeld, not for the

that we ought to take up prior to Dr. Steinfeld's

	21	other witness.
	22	MR. HEIM: It's for the Surgeon General.
two,	23	MR. KIRBY: I didn't mean to confuse the
	24	I apologize.
	25	THE COURT: This is Grossman.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
		COPIRIGHT 1990V-CALLHRIGHISGRESERVED
14249		
11219		
	1	MR. HEIM: Right.
	2	THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
	3	MR. KIRBY: And Dr. Steinfeld, we believe,
in	4	would like in this trial, as was not permitted to do
	5	Broin, testify as to the contents of this letter
that,		
	6	of course, we don't believe ever existed.
	7	THE COURT: If I recall what happened, he
	8	wrote a letter
	9	MR. KIRBY: What
	10	THE COURT: He wrote a letter. Right
What	11	MR. KIRBY: He didn't write the letter.
	12	he claimed is that he saw
	13	THE COURT: Saw the letter.
by	14	MR. KIRBY: a letter supposedly written
Dy	15	Mr. Dopples the then-president of D. I. Poynelds
	16	Mr. Pepples, the then-president of R.J. Reynolds
	16	Tobacco Company. THE COURT: Right.
	18	THE COURT: Right. MR. KIRBY: To then President Nixon after
the	10	mm. Mindi. 10 then President Nixon alter

21 other witness.

19	November 1972 election.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. KIRBY: Which
22	THE COURT: Okay. I ruled against any
23	testimony regarding the letter, as I recall.
24	MR. KIRBY: That's right. And against
25	THE COURT: Do you intend to raise that
issue	
	TAVIOD TONOVIO MUITEE C CENDON
	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14250	
1	again?
2	MR. KIRBY: him speculating as to why he
3	was, in quotes, fired.
4	THE COURT: Do you attempt to go into that?
5	MR. ROSENBLATT: Now, just to put this in
6	context, yes, the what Mr. Kirby is talking about,
7	Dr. Steinfeld would have been prepared to testify he
8	saw a letter from the president of R.J. Reynolds to
9	President Nixon
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. ROSENBLATT: saying, in effect, you
12	know, after he had after he had clobbered McGovern
13	in 1972, saying, in effect, you know, we made these
big 14	gentributions and you know part of the deal
you 14	contributions, and, you know, part of the deal was
15	get rid of Steinfeld and you haven't got rid of him
yet	

16 and that kind of thing.

	17	And we still have not found the letter.
have	18	So, I was certainly going to, since you
	19	not felt any compulsion to be totally consistent with
with	20	your rulings in Broin, I was going to revisit that
	21	you, with the expectation that on this issue,
	22	unfortunately, you would be consistent.
	23	THE COURT: No. Every case is different.
	24	And you can't really bank on the same rulings. As a
rulings	25	matter of fact, you can't even bank on the same

1	4	2	5	1

14231		
	1	on a retrial. You know, it's very difficult. Very,
	2	very difficult.
that	3	My gut reaction is I handle a situation
comes	4	comes up as it comes up in the situation that it
	5	up in.
	6	And things may change. Even the tenor of a
ruling.	7	question may change and make a difference in a
	8	So, I usually don't even worry about what I did last
	9	time and I worry about what I'm doing now.
I	10	My thought on this is, without the letter,
	11	don't think he should be allowed to discuss the
Не	12	contents of the letter or anything of that nature.
	13	may say that he was made aware of a letter;

	14	to that letter, a certain incident took place. So be
	15	it. Whether they can make the connection with this
	16	letter and the incident, that's a different story.
	17	But I don't think I'll let him get in the
	18	content or even suppose or speculate on the content.
	19	MR. ROSENBLATT: Now, there are some other
	20	letters which were introduced in Broin where the
	21	president of Reynolds wrote to Surgeon General
of	22	Steinfeld's boss, Elliott Richardson, the secretary
	23	Health, Education and Welfare, and those letters we
	24	have.
	25	THE COURT: Different story.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14252		
	1	MR. ROSENBLATT: I understand.
	2	MR. MOSS: Insofar as him being able to say
	3	that he was made aware of a letter
	4	THE COURT: A letter. Period.
	5	MR. MOSS: I understand. But being able to

7 THE COURT: Not as a result of.

8 MR. MOSS: No. I understand. And then I was

6

9 not reappointed or fired, I mean, we -- they're

say that and then following it up with, and --

10 allowing to get the same inference to the jury when

11 there is no relevance to, I was made aware of a

letter.

12	That's the problem.
13	THE COURT: I understand that. But, let's
14	face it, is there what is the prohibition of
15	somebody coming in saying, I was made aware of a
16	letter, or I became aware of a letter?
17	MR. MOSS: There would be no prohibition
18	except for the clear import of getting the the
19	import of that letter to the jury through the back
20	door, and that clearly is violative of I mean,
21	there's no need for the rule.
22	MR. KIRBY: It's just another way, Your
23	Honor, of violating the best evidence rule. And if I
24	may point out something, in his deposition,
25	Dr. Steinfeld was asked, not only in his Broin
	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON

11 evidence rule and all of the problems with that, but

14253		
	1	deposition, but in the recent one that was taken just
to	2	in July of this year, about issues that may have led
	3	the president requesting his resignation?
	4	He went through a host of things, his
	5	position on TV violence and cyclamates and phosphates
	6	and so forth. And the question was asked: And are
requesting	7	these the issues that lead to the president
	8	your resignation.
	9	And his answer was: Well, I have no idea.
	10	So we not only have the problem of the best

	12	then it's compounded by the others. Then on top of
	13	that is the speculation. And then on top of that is,
	14	of course, the 403 prejudice.
	15	THE COURT: Let's put it this way. There's
	16	been many cases that have resolved the issue of BOLO
	17	report. Same concept. A police officer received a
what	18	BOLO. Arrived at the scene of a crime. Can't say
	19	the BOLO said, but he can say that as a result of
	20	getting a BOLO, he went some place or did something.
	21	It's the same concept. He was aware of a
making	22	letter and then some action took place, without
	23	reference to the letter.
	24	Now, there is a great deal of similarity in
say	25	that sort of a situation, because one could always

14254		
1	-	that just because of the BOLO, that you went to the
in,	2	scene of a break-in, so obviously there was a break-
far. 3	3	that kind of a thing. Those have passed muster so
4	Ŀ	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, I think there's at
5	5	least two differences. One is in the example you're
this	5	using to explain the conduct of the officer, what
7	7	is is really two steps removed, because you take a,
8	3	saw a letter," the content of which is not known, and

	9	then the testimony later the content of the
	10	letter "I saw a letter from" of course, you
	11	understand, Your Honor
	12	THE COURT: Not from. No from.
	13	MR. KIRBY: Oh, no "from" and no "to"?
	14	THE COURT: No "from" and no "to."
	15	MR. KIRBY: Okay. We're getting better.
	16	I still don't think it's completely
	17	analogous, Your Honor, because what this is
the	18	THE COURT: Okay. Without the "from" and
isn't	19	"to," right, then the whole issue is meaningless,
	20	it?
to	21	I mean, the whole import of this thing is
	22	show there was some inference by the tobacco industry
	23	about his tenure.
	24	MR. KIRBY: It's really speculation.
all.	25	MR. ROSENBLATT: It's not speculation at
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14255		
	1	Dr. Steinfeld saw the letter. He's not going to
	2	comment about the contents of the letter but he
	3	knows
at	4	THE COURT: There's no proof of the letter
	5	all.

MR. ROSENBLATT: No, but he can --

	7	THE COURT: He can't. That's the thing.
lost.	8	MR. ROSENBLATT: Suppose the letter is
	9	He should certainly
	10	THE COURT: That's possible.
	11	MR. ROSENBLATT: "I saw a letter from,"
	12	without getting into the contents. "I saw a letter
	13	from.
	14	THE COURT: There's no way to prove the
	15	contents thereof, the proof and veracity of it. It
in	16	lends itself to a lot of speculation as to what was
	17	it. It could even be self-serving statements made by
	18	the witness for the purposes of explaining something.
	19	MR. ROSENBLATT: You're not going to permit
	20	him to explain the content of the letter.
think	21	THE COURT: Yes. I understand that. I
the	22	it's safer just to forget the whole business about
a	23	letter that said that he was fired or released as of
and	24	certain date, unless you can prove some connection,
	25	you can't.

- 1 MR. ROSENBLATT: Well, I think in context,
- 2 Your Honor, and I'm not going to blurt out anything,
- 3 because there are some other letters --

	4	THE COURT: Different.
	5	MR. ROSENBLATT: which I have.
leave	6	THE COURT: Different story. But we'll
	7	that letter alone.
it	8	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. I've got to leave
	9	alone because I don't have it.
	10	THE COURT: Well, any reference to it.
	11	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay.
	12	THE COURT: So we'll talk to him before he
	13	talks to the jury.
	14	MR. MOSS: We'll tell him about that.
	15	THE COURT: Yes. Yes. I think in the long
	16	haul, it's safer and it's a minor point overall. It
	17	causes more trouble than it solves. And that's where
	18	the issue is. Lord knows, we don't need troubles.
	19	MR. ROSENBLATT: I just want to be sure,
	20	Judge, we don't run into a technical problem, because
were	21	I'm told by the videographer, for example, if you
	22	to say, well, I want this out and I want you know,
	23	and make that decision whenever you make it, whenever
it	24	you have a chance to read the transcript what is
	25	going to take, a couple of hours to do?

- 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The tape is two hours
- $2\,$ $\,$ $\,$ long. It would take two hours to master it, plus

	3	however long it takes to take out what you need.
,	4	THE COURT: I know if you have to go back
and		
	5	redo it, sure.
of	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: But if I can get a copy
	7	the transcript, I can at least get working on pulling
	8	out the words "objection," that is possible now, and
	9	then when we get rulings, I can, Judge, go over page
	10	and line and pull the rest of that out and get it as
	11	quickly as possible.
	12	THE COURT: I thought we had no, we
doctor	13	haven't got a total on these. I don't want the
doctor	1.4	
	14	to sit around all day while we do this.
	15	MR. ROSENBLATT: Of course not. That's
	16	exactly why I suggested it's really not a long
	17	transcript.
	18	Yes. I've got it.
	19	So I think what we're talking about is I
	20	mean, my preference would be that
	21	THE COURT: I'm just trying to figure out
	22	technically, if he goes through during the course of
	23	the doctor's testimony and eliminates the words
	24	objection and whatever follows but leaves the answer
	25	in, if I then come back and I decide that that

14258

1 objection was valid and rule that the answers should

2	2	stricken, at that point you can always turn the sound
3	3	down.
4	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
5	5	THE COURT: And eliminate that answer.
it,	б	MR. REID: That's the way we ought to do
	7	Your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: Which is a lot easier than
9	9	eliminating one word.
10	0	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, absolutely. What I
11	1	can do what I'm talking about doing is taking the
12	2	transcript back to the office right now with the
13	3	original videotape and editing out all of the
14	4	objections to form, if they're not overspoken.
15	5	THE COURT: Right. Right.
16	б	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Then when you make your
17	7	rulings as to what comes in
18	8	THE COURT: Then we can
19	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: and what comes out,
we		
20	0	can remove the sound accordingly.
21	1	THE COURT: I think we can do that.
22 some	2	MR. REID: That would include there were
23	3	motions to strike also. In other words, any comments
24	4	by the opposing counsel to be removed.
25	5	THE COURT: It's tough. It's tough

	1	because
	2	MR. REID: We tried to anticipated this.
	3	THE COURT: if they're overspoken
hands	4	MR. REID: Yes. We tried to raise our
	5	and signal the witness to wait. Most of the time it
	6	worked.
	7	THE COURT: And colloquy, whatever the
	8	colloquy.
	9	MR. REID: Yes. Thank you.
	10	THE COURT: Try that and see how it works
	11	out.
	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
	13	THE COURT: Okay.
let's	14	Before do you that, before you do that,
	15	come sidebar.
	16	(Discussion off the record.)
in,	17	THE COURT: Okay. Can I have the doctor
	18	please?
	19	(The witness entered the courtroom.)
	20	THE COURT: All right, Doctor. Come up
here,	0.1	
	21	please.
	22	THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
	24	Have a seat, please.
	25	Concerning the situation with this letter

1	from who wrote the letter?
2	MR. ROSENBLATT: Pepples of R.J. Reynolds
to	
3	President Nixon.
4	THE COURT: Which you don't have a copy of,
5	you just want to stay away from that.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
of 7	THE COURT: And any reference as a result
8	that letter that some activity took place. You
9	understand the idea that you were either let go or
10	whatever because of the letter, but we don't have it,
11	so we can't make reference to it.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Rather than get in trouble
14	talking about something we can't do. Okay?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: All right. Let's get the jury
17	out, please.
18	(The jurors entered the courtroom.)
19	THE COURT: All right. Be seated, folks.
20	Good morning to you all.
21	JURY PANEL: Good morning.
22	THE COURT: Raring to go?
23	Okay. We are going to resume today.
24	I did want to find out something about the
25	schedule for tomorrow. I told them I would let them

	1	know today about tomorrow. We haven't discussed that
	2	yet today about tomorrow, but we will. Before the
to	3	lunch hour, we'll give you some idea, so if you have
tomorrow.	4	make arrangements one way or the other about
call	5	In any event, we're ready to proceed, so
	6	your next witness, please.
	7	MR. ROSENBLATT: Dr. Steinfeld is in the
	8	witness chair.
	9	THE COURT: Stand up, please, sir.
	10	Thereupon:
	11	JESSE L. STEINFELD, M.D.,
	12	having been called as a witness, was duly sworn,
	13	examined, and testified as follows:
	14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	15	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
the	16	Q. Dr. Steinfeld, please tell the members of
	17	jury your full name and your present address.
at	18	A. It's Jesse Leonard Steinfeld. And I live
	19	[DELETED], if you speak
	20	Spanish, in San Diego, California.
	21	Q. You are a medical doctor?
	22	A. Yes.
the	23	Q. And you have served as Surgeon General of
	24	United States; correct?
	25	A. Yes.

14262

	1	Q. Okay. I'm going to go through your
with	2	curriculum vitae in chronological order, starting
	3	you received a bachelor of science degree from the
undergraduate	4	University of Pittsburgh. That was your
anacigiadaacc	5	work; is that correct?
	6	A. That is correct.
	7	Q. And where did you go to medical school, and
	8	what year did you graduate?
	9	A. I went to Western Reserve, which is now
	10	called Case Western Reserve in Cleveland, Ohio, and I
	11	graduated in 1949.
	12	Q. And after having received your M.D. degree,
	13	did you serve an internship?
	14	A. Yes, I did.
	15	Q. And for how long and where was that?
	16	A. That was for one year at Cedars of Lebanon
	17	Hospital in Los Angeles.
	18	Q. And following the completion of your
	19	internship, did you serve any residency?
	20	A. Yes. In internal medicine at the Veterans'
	21	Administration Hospital in Long Beach.
	22	Q. And for how long a period was that?
	23	A. It was in two different time episodes, but
	24	the Korean I don't know whether you call it war or

25 conflict -- it was a real war, broke out, and most of

Force	1	the young physicians left for the Army, Navy, Air
	2	or Marines or Public Health Service.
residency,	3	Q. Okay. Now, I see in looking under
experimental	4	there's something mentioned, laboratory of
	5	oncology. What was that all about?
actually	6	A. Well, I was interested in research,
	7	cancer research, and while I was in the residency, I
fellowship	8	had applied for an Atomic Energy Commission
I	9	in the medical sciences, and that was approved while
	10	was on duty on a Coast Guard cutter in the North
	11	Atlantic.
College,	12	So, when I came back, I went to Reed
	13	which is where the first part of the fellowship was,
	14	and then to Long Beach, which had the first
	15	radioisotope unit in the V.A. system and one of the
	16	first in the country.
which	17	I should add that the at that time,
AEC	18	was 1951, required a full-field clearance to get an
	19	fellowship. The Cold War was very cold, and it was,
	20	you know, the same kind of full-field clearance for
General.	21	that fellowship that I had for the job Surgeon

Q. And what kind of clearance is that? What process does one have to go through?

A. One has to list every place one has ever lived, and I'm not sure what all happens, but I know

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

I had jobs in most of those states: Ohio where I

14264 the FBI visits every one of the places, including where 2 I was born and -- there's a funny story about it, but I 3 don't think you want to take the time. But, anyway, they visited the poolroom which is two doors from where 5 I was born. Q. So they -- in order to get the clearance, you 7 were subjected to an FBI investigation? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. Now, I notice that you're licensed in 10 a number of states, Ohio, California, District of Columbia, Maryland, Minnesota, Virginia, Georgia. 11 How 12 is it that you got licenses in so many states, medical 13 licenses in so many states? 14 A. Well, I was in the service for one thing, and 15 I guess my family says I can't keep a job. But anyway,

16

went

	17	to medical school; California where I practiced; the
	18	District of Columbia, Maryland, when I was at NIH;
	19	Minnesota when I was at the Mayo Clinic; and then
of	20	Virginia and Georgia where I was dean and president
	21	the medical college.
of	22	Q. Now, you are board certified in the field
	23	internal medicine; is that correct?
	24	A. Yes, I am.
	25	Q. Now let me go through your teaching career
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14265		
	1	and background. You taught at the George Washington
	1 2	and background. You taught at the George Washington University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958.
	2	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958.
	2	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct.
	2 3 4	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching?
few	2 3 4 5	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching? A. Well, actually I was running their radio
few	2 3 4 5	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching? A. Well, actually I was running their radio isotope unit, which primarily did, in those days,
few	2 3 4 5 6	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching? A. Well, actually I was running their radio isotope unit, which primarily did, in those days, iodine uptakes, and blood volumes. There were very
	2 3 4 5 6 7	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching? A. Well, actually I was running their radio isotope unit, which primarily did, in those days, iodine uptakes, and blood volumes. There were very isotopes that we had available; iodine 131, which was
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching? A. Well, actually I was running their radio isotope unit, which primarily did, in those days, iodine uptakes, and blood volumes. There were very isotopes that we had available; iodine 131, which was used for measuring thyroid function, iondinated
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching? A. Well, actually I was running their radio isotope unit, which primarily did, in those days, iodine uptakes, and blood volumes. There were very isotopes that we had available; iodine 131, which was used for measuring thyroid function, iondinated for measuring blood volume, and chromium for labeling
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	University School of Medicine from 1954 to 1958. A. That's correct. Q. What subjects were you teaching? A. Well, actually I was running their radio isotope unit, which primarily did, in those days, iodine uptakes, and blood volumes. There were very isotopes that we had available; iodine 131, which was used for measuring thyroid function, iondinated for measuring blood volume, and chromium for labeling red cells and seeing how long they survived.

15 isotopes.

	16	Q. The George Washington University School of
	17	Medicine is located where?
	18	A. Well, it's located in downtown Washington,
I	19	but I was at D.C. General, which was called Galinger,
L.A.	20	believe, which was the well, the equivalent of
hospital,	21	County or San Diego County. It was a public
	22	city, county, state.
	23	Q. Try to keep your voice it seems like my
	24	microphone has gotten louder.
	25	THE COURT: We did fix it.
14266		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
	1	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. Okay. I think the
	2	witness needs it more than I do.
and	3	THE COURT: No. It was a defective mike
	4	we found out over the week. So, anyway, it's a new
Everybody	5	mike. I think we took it from this podium.
	6	can hear. And now you can turn it off.
	7	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. I want to turn off
	8	theirs.
we	9	THE COURT: No. The problem was that when
	10	finished our sessions, the mike was still alive, and

11 you know there's a lot of in talk with the attorneys

12 and all and that was being fed into another room. It

	13	was terrible.
	14	Okay.
	15	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. I'll try to stand
	16	back a little.
	17	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	18	Q. Now, you became a professor of medicine at
Los	19	the University of Southern California. Is that in
	20	Angeles?
	21	A. Yes.
as	22	Q. Through 1958 through 1968 you started out
you	23	an assistant, you became an associate professor and
year	24	became a full professor. That was for about a ten-
	25	period.

	1	So tell us generally what your function was
	2	at the University of Southern California School of
	3	Medicine, in addition to your teaching
	4	responsibilities?
	5	A. Well, my main function was to set up the
	6	medical oncology unit, medical oncology being the
	7	treatment of cancer with drugs, as opposed to surgery
	8	or radiation. And that was what I had done at the
'58,	9	National Cancer Institute in Bethesda from '53 to
307		
	10	which is when the clinical center opened in 1953.

	11	And so I set up a training program and a
	12	treatment program, and we joined something called the
because	13	Western Cooperative Cancer Chemotherapy Group,
particular	14	it's difficult to get enough patients with a
working	15	type of cancer to be certain whether a drug is
	16	or not.
	17	There were, I've calculated, at least 200
	18	different kinds of human cancer, histologic types.
	19	Q. By histologic, you're referring to tissue
	20	types?
	21	A. Yes. Cellular types and tissue.
the	22	And we set up a training program. And by
	23	time I'd left, we had multiple grants totaling about
build	24	\$1,000,000. The last one was a planning grant to
cancer	25	a cancer hospital because there really wasn't a

14268

and

1 hospital of any size between Texas, M.D. Anderson,

2 Los Angeles, except for the City of Hope, which

3 actually was a smaller institution, at least at that

4 time.

5 But I did give lectures on cancer and on

6 hematology. The two were mixed. There were a number

7 of hematologic malignancies, leukemia, lymphomas,

	8	myeloma.
	9	Q. Now, the field of hematology encompasses
	10	what?
	11	A. Blood, coagulation, red cells, platelets,
	12	white cells.
National	13	Q. You were the deputy director of the
what	14	Cancer Institute in 1968 and 1969. First of all,
	15	is the National Cancer Institute?
	16	A. Well, the National Cancer Institute is a
	17	governmental organization that was the second of the
	18	National Institutes of Health. The first one was
	19	called the National Institute of Health, which was
	20	whose goal was to find and treat infectious diseases,
	21	as well as mechanisms to prevent them and also
	22	nutritional diseases. Those were the two big issues
on.	23	that the National Institute of Health first worked
	24	And then in 1937, the National Cancer
	25	Institute was set up, and it's interesting to read
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14269		
politicians	1	about the setting up of it. The Congress,
no	2	wanted to set it up. The scientists felt there was
	3	way that one could spend \$37,000 worthwhile in cancer
	4	research.

I think the budget now is in the billions.

did	6	But in any event, the cancer institute, earlier on,
	7	studies on animals, mice, rats, rabbits, guinea pigs,
	8	and also laboratory tests, chemical synthesis of
	9	compounds and testing to see whether or not they were
	10	carcinogenic.
United	11	Q. Now, you became Surgeon General of the
	12	States in what year?
	13	A. '69.
	14	Q. And you served until when?
	15	A. '73.
	16	Q. Now, how was it that you were selected as
	17	Surgeon General of the United is it did you
	18	actively seek the position?
	19	A. No. No.
that	20	What happened was the sequence of events
	21	was supposed to occur in Bethesda, that is, the
he	22	director of the Cancer Institute, had been told that
	23	was going to be a director of the National Institutes
	24	of Health, and the position of deputy director was
that	25	created as kind of a training ground, and I was in

- 1 training position.
- 2 Unfortunately, the director did not get
- 3 the -- the NCI -- did not become director of NIH, and

	4	my wife and children wanted to go back home to South
	5	Pasadena, California, as did I, and they were we
	6	moved out. They left, and I was still in Bethesda.
I	7	Meanwhile, Richard Nixon, Hubert Humphrey,
	8	believe, ran for the presidency.
	9	Q. '68?
	10	A. And a gentleman named a physician named
General	11	John Knowles, who was the head of Massachusetts
	12	Hospital, had organized physicians for Nixon, and he
	13	was to be appointed as the assistant secretary for
	14	Health and Scientific Affairs. There were a lot of
	15	politics involved.
-	16	Senator Dirkson, who represented Illinois -
	17	Q. I think you may be giving us a little more
	18	who recommended to your knowledge, who recommended
	19	that Jesse Steinfeld become Surgeon General?
can	20	A. I can't get there from here. I can I
	21	do this in a minute if you will allow me.
	22	Q. Okay. Fine. As long as you promise it's a
	23	minute.
	24	A. Okay. Time me.
	25	Dirkson objected. Knowles did not get the

14271

job. They finally appointed a Dr. Roger Egeberg, who

	2	had been the dean at USC and who supported me in
	3	building the cancer hospital.
	4	He came to Washington. He became the
	5	assistant secretary. He said that this was a Vietnam
	6	era, students were giving him a hard time. He needed
	7	six or eight weeks of vacation in Hawaii, and he
	8	accepted this job, if I would come down from Bethesda
weeks,	9	every day and work in the office for a couple of
	10	clean up all of the papers. And so I did.
I	11	Except a couple weeks kept dragging on, and
	12	kept, you know, saying, you know, my wife wants me to
lot	13	go home. And ultimately by the way, there was a
	14	of consideration given to doing away with the Public
	15	Health Service, which would mean no Commission Corps,
	16	no Surgeon General.
	17	But Bob Finch, after I had actually been
	18	doing the job for about six months, asked if I would
promise	19	stay, were he to appoint me Surgeon General and
	20	that the corps would remain alive.
	21	Q. Bob Finch was at that time the secretary of
	22	Health, Education and Welfare?
	23	A. Yes. Unfortunately
	24	Q. Now, the Surgeon General is called a
	25	presidential appointment, technically?

	1	A. It is a presidential appointment.
before	2	Q. It is. Had you known President Nixon
perore	3	you were appointed Surgeon General?
	4	A. No, I had not.
	5	Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to come back to the
	6	time frame when you were Surgeon General between 1969
	7	and 1973, but after you left the office of Surgeon
	8	General, I want to discuss your career up until the
	9	time you retired.
	10	After you left your position as Surgeon
	11	General of the United States, you became professor of
	12	medicine and oncology at the Mayo Medical School in
	13	Rochester, Minnesota, and what was your focus in that
	14	job?
ia	15	A. The Mayo Clinic wanted the Mayo Clinic
is		
is	15 16	A. The Mayo Clinic wanted the Mayo Clinic a great place, but it had applied to be designated as
is		
the	16	a great place, but it had applied to be designated as
	16 17	a great place, but it had applied to be designated as one of the major cancer centers that were listed in
the maybe	16 17 18	a great place, but it had applied to be designated as one of the major cancer centers that were listed in bill to Conquest of Cancer Bill in the mid
the maybe	16 17 18	a great place, but it had applied to be designated as one of the major cancer centers that were listed in bill to Conquest of Cancer Bill in the mid '72, '73, and they wanted me to re-do their
the maybe	16 17 18 19	a great place, but it had applied to be designated as one of the major cancer centers that were listed in bill to Conquest of Cancer Bill in the mid '72, '73, and they wanted me to re-do their and to be the head of the cancer center, if it were
the maybe	16 17 18 19 20 21	a great place, but it had applied to be designated as one of the major cancer centers that were listed in bill to Conquest of Cancer Bill in the mid '72, '73, and they wanted me to re-do their and to be the head of the cancer center, if it were approved by the NCI.
the maybe	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a great place, but it had applied to be designated as one of the major cancer centers that were listed in bill to Conquest of Cancer Bill in the mid '72, '73, and they wanted me to re-do their and to be the head of the cancer center, if it were approved by the NCI. And I redid the application, and it was

	1	Q. By clinical medicine, you mean actually
	2	seeing patients?
	3	A. Yes. Yes. But I found I was overwhelmed
filling	4	with paper again, with grants of all kinds and
	5	them out and applying for other grants, and so I
	6	decided it would be much better to go home. Home was
	7	Southern California.
	8	And it also didn't get nearly as cold in
	9	Southern California. So we left.
	10	Q. Okay. And then you became the chief of the
	11	medical service at the Veterans' Administration
	12	Hospital in Long Beach from 1974 to 1976?
	13	A. Yes. Actually that was the University of
	14	California, Irvine appointment. But most of their
	15	patients were at Long Beach, so and most of their
	16	students were at Long Beach for the clinical years.
practicing	17	Q. So in that job, you were actually
patients,	18	clinical medicine, seeing patients, treating
	19	making diagnoses?
	20	A. And flying back and forth to Washington,
NCI,	21	because I was still on a lot of committees for the
	22	and actually others for EPA and several other
	23	governmental organizations.
	24	Q. EPA being the Environmental Protection
	25	Agency?

-	1	1	\neg	1

	1	A. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. I'm sorry with the
	2	acronyms.
	3	Q. That's okay.
in	4	Now, you left the University of California
	5	Irvine, and you became the dean of the School of
	6	Medicine at the Medical College of Virginia; is that
	7	correct?
	8	A. That is correct.
	9	Q. Now, did that mean that you were once again
on	10	leaving the clinical practice of medicine and taking
	11	essentially an administrative job
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q as the dean of the medical school?
case.	14	A. It turned out that that was exactly the
the	15	Q. Looking at your CV, in addition to being
	16	dean of the medical school, you were also a professor
	17	of medicine. Did you actually have teaching
	18	responsibilities, in addition to your administrative?
15,	19	A. Yes, but nothing like 20 years earlier or
	20	when I was at USC, Southern Cal.
	21	Q. Now, you were the dean of the School of
	22	Medicine at the Medical College of Virginia from 1976
of	23	through 1983. And in 1983, you became the president
	24	the Medical College of Georgia and professor of
	25	medicine.

1	1	2	7	\Box

	1	What were your duties as president of the
	2	Medical College of Georgia?
	3	A. The Medical College of Georgia had fallen
on		
	4	some hard times, and they wanted somebody to come in
	5	and reorganize it. And we set out a long-range plan.
	6	And they just finished building the last of the
	7	hospitals we planned, which is a children's hospital,
	8	but we I say we, the management at MCG, Medical
	9	College of Georgia, revamped the entire system.
1	0	I would add parenthetically that MCG was
1	1	built right after World War II, and it was built the
1.	2	way most veterans' hospitals are built, which was a
big		
1	3	inpatient operation, a thousand beds. And nobody
1	4	thought about outpatients. And so there were, as I
1	5	remember, 31 different places in about a five-block
1	6	radius where individuals went to see different
doctors		
1	7	when they were not actually in the hospital.
1	8	So we built an outpatient building, among
1	9	other things.
2	0	Q. Now, you gave up your position as president
2	1	of the Medical College of Georgia in 1987; is that
2	2	correct?
2	3	A. That's correct.
2	4	Q. And what have you done professionally since

weakness in the leg. I was in a wheelchair. I was

really not able to function as the president of the

14276		
courtroom	1	A. Professionally I have been in this
sure	2	once before, and this is my second time. I'm not
	3	that's professional.
asking	4	Q. I guess I guess basically what I'm
	5	is, did you do you have a sideline as a stand-up
	6	comedian?
	7	A. I'm working on it.
	8	Q. Or a sit-down comedian.
	9	Try to put that on hold.
	10	A. Okay. Sorry.
	11	Q. That's okay.
	12	Did you retire, as a practical matter, in
	13	19
	14	A. Well, I retired I had no choice. I was
arm	15	operated on in '85. I had developed atrophy in one
	16	and weakness, and had a film of my neck taken, and I
saying:	17	remember looking at it looking at films and
	18	Who is that old man? And they said: That's you.
	19	And I had multiple fusions in my neck. I

20

21

had

	23	function as a physician. And so I retired.
you	24	Q. And you've lived in [DELETED] ever since
you	25	left the Medical College of Georgia?
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14277		
looking	1	A. We rented in Long Beach while we were
in	2	for a home there. We have three daughters who lived
	3	that area at the time.
	4	Q. Okay. Now, you've got a section of your
Let	5	curriculum vitae, administrative responsibilities.
	6	me ask you about just a few of them.
Journal	7	You were the associate editor of the
	8	of the National Cancer Institute. You've already
	9	explained what the National Cancer Institute is. But
	10	as the associate editor, what were your duties?
	11	A. Well, in those days, it was a much smaller
	12	journal than it is now, and we would meet every
	13	every week or every two weeks to review articles that
	14	had been submitted and to determine who should be
at	15	there are several stages of review. First you look
pick	16	it and see what field it's in, and then you try to
	17	the best people to review it, and then you read the
determine	18	article and its reviewers' points of view and

Medical College of Georgia. I really was not able to

	19	whether or not it should be published.
	20	Q. And you
	21	A. And my role was as a clinician, because the
	22	NCI, as I had indicated earlier, from '37 to '73, had
	23	no patients, nor had any of the other institutes.
	24	Q. And you've been a long-time member of the
Research	25	editorial board of a publication called Cancer
Research		
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
		COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14278		
	1	from 1965 to 1987.
	2	What does the publication Cancer Research
	3	focus on?
	4	A. That was the original publication of the
	5	American Association of Cancer Research, which was
the		
	6	first and premier cancer research organization in the
	7	country, and that was their journal. And this one
many	8	the editors, associate editors, there were lots
	9	more, and they were all over the country.
	10	So this really involved having the staff
	11	determine who should review what articles.
	12	And so I would get articles to review and
	13	comment on, and it's done anonymously, or should be,
	14	and the staff ultimately brings out the journal.
	15	Q. Now, you were the chief of the United
States	1.0	
	16	delegation to the 23rd, 24th and 25th, World Health

	17	Assemblies, World Health Organization, and apparently
	18	this was during your tenure as Surgeon General in the
	19	early '70s.
delegation?	20	What did you do as chief of that
	21	A. Stanley, I'm sorry to do this. I kept Red
	22	China, Cuba and North Korea out of the WHO.
	23	No. We it was a political
	24	Q. It was political
	25	A. It was a political meeting. The work was
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14279		
	1	done during the year by the actual people who worked
	_	
	2	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp
countries		
countries	2	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp
countries	2	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped
countries	2 3 4	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to
countries	2 3 4 5	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean,
countries	2 3 4 5 6	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean, there was no question.
countries	2 3 4 5 6 7	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean, there was no question. The State Department wrote the talk that I
countries	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean, there was no question. The State Department wrote the talk that I would give, and it had nothing to do with health.
countries	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean, there was no question. The State Department wrote the talk that I would give, and it had nothing to do with health. Q. Okay.
countries	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean, there was no question. The State Department wrote the talk that I would give, and it had nothing to do with health. Q. Okay. A. Or very little that I remember.
countries	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean, there was no question. The State Department wrote the talk that I would give, and it had nothing to do with health. Q. Okay. A. Or very little that I remember. Q. A little change of pace.
countries	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for WHO. They did excellent work in trying to stamp out malaria, improve nutrition in undeveloped and distribute drugs, I mean, pharmaceutical drugs to heal. But the actual meeting was political. I mean, there was no question. The State Department wrote the talk that I would give, and it had nothing to do with health. Q. Okay. A. Or very little that I remember. Q. A little change of pace. A. Yes.

16 General of the United States 19 -- reports came out

19	published during your tenure, what subjects did they
20	cover?
21	A. Well, the first one first of all, the
22	first Surgeon General's Report was in '64. It was a
23	brown book. It covered all kinds of diseases. The
24	only one that it really focused on in saying that
25	cigarette smoking was sufficiently shown to be a
cause	
	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
	COPIRIGHT 1990V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14280	
1	of cancer, that remedial action be taken. Those are
2	the words paraphrased.
3	Then there were several very thin, little
4	booklets which were updates on various subjects,
heart	
5	disease, Buerger's disease, which is arteriosclerosis
6 fall	obliterans where the ends of the fingers and toes
7	off.
8	And Dan Horn was the head of the
9	Clearinghouse on Smoking and Health, which was
10	responsible for preparing the report, and he and I
11	talked because I had a copy of the '64 report, and I
12	had copies of the three little supplements, but most
13	people in the country didn't.
14	And I felt we really should do a
15	comprehensive report again. So that was the first

17 during the years 1970, '71, '72, '73.

18

The Surgeon General's Reports that were

one.

16 Q. That was 1970?

17 A. I think that was '70 or '71. I'm -- I

can't

18 remember. It's a long time ago.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. And then the reports were shorter, but

21 focused on other subjects. And ultimately when more

22 and more people got into the field -- this was not a

23 very exciting field of medicine, I must say, 35 years

24 ago. As more and more research was done, it became

25 possible to devote an issue to such things as women

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14281

smokers or something -- low-yield cigarettes,

cigarettes that presumably yielded a lower tar and

3 nicotine content to the smoker.

4 So those things came along later.

5 Q. There's a section in your curriculum vitae

6 called consultantships, and you mentioned being on

the

7 Committee for Tobacco and Health, the American Cancer

8 Society a long time, from 1973 through 1994. What

did

9 that committee do?

10 A. Well, it met to decide what actions the

11 American Cancer Society should take with respect to

12 smoking and health.

13 And I was for much of that time the head of

a

smoking	14	subcommittee on research needs in the field of
	15	and health.
	16	Q. The section on awards and honors, you were
	17	president of the American Society for Clinical
	18	Oncology, governor of the American College of
Association	19	Physicians, you were president-elect of the
	20	of Military Surgeons of the United States; correct?
	21	A. That's correct.
	22	Now, you received from the American Cancer
	23	Society in 1990 their special award for contributions
Health.	24	to combatting smoking, Committee on Smoking and
	25	What is that recognition for?
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14282		
14282	1	
14282 I	1 2	COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
		COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED A. I think just as you described it.
	2	COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED A. I think just as you described it. Q. Now, you've got a list of publications, and
I	2	COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED A. I think just as you described it. Q. Now, you've got a list of publications, and will ask you about a few of them. Some 72
I	2 3 4	A. I think just as you described it. Q. Now, you've got a list of publications, and will ask you about a few of them. Some 72 publications. And I assume this is, obviously,
I	2 3 4 5	A. I think just as you described it. Q. Now, you've got a list of publications, and will ask you about a few of them. Some 72 publications. And I assume this is, obviously, through your tenure at the Medical College of
I	2 3 4 5	A. I think just as you described it. Q. Now, you've got a list of publications, and will ask you about a few of them. Some 72 publications. And I assume this is, obviously, through your tenure at the Medical College of One publication, the Chemical Treatment of

10 A. I can't remember that one specifically.

you're	11	Q. But in terms of the field of oncology,
	12	involved in nonsurgical methods of treating cancer?
	13	A. That is correct.
	14	Q. I think everyone's heard about chemotherapy
	15	and radiation. Are there other methods of treating
	16	cancer nonsurgically, other than chemotherapy and
	17	radiation?
	18	A. Well, chemotherapy covers a multitude of
	19	modalities. Immunotherapy is an attempt to produce
	20	antibodies to a tumor or to not only produce
	21	antibodies, but hook them up to radioactive isotopes
the	22	that would presumably hone in on the tumor and kill
Circ	23	cells.
	24	But that field is still in its infancy, as
	25	far as I'm concerned.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14283		
the	1	Q. Now, you did an article which appeared in
	2	National Tuberculosis and Respiratory Disease
	3	Association publication: Cigarette Smoking is on the
is	4	Increase Among Women. And the date of that article
	5	1971.
	6	And I guess the title explains it. You
	7	simply were demonstrating that cigarette smoking had
of	8	increased amongst women. Was there any other point

of

	9	the article?
	10	A. I think I some of those articles are
I	11	were not articles written by me, they were talks that
	12	gave. I was much younger and had a better vocabulary
	13	and probably more enthusiasm, and so I was asked to
came	14	turn the talk into an article, so that's how that
	15	about.
	16	Q. And here's either an article or a speech
Physician's	17	while you were Surgeon General in 1972, The
	18	Role, The Physician's Responsibility to his Smoking
	19	Patient.
	20	Back in 1972, what, in your view, was the
	21	physician's responsibility to his smoking patient?
	22	A. Well, first of all, to take a history and
	23	find out if the patient smoked, and if so, to let the
	24	patient know that there were serious potential
	25	serious consequences, and depending on the amount and

6 with a cigarette in his hand to advise his patient to

different.	1	kind of cigarette, the consequences would be
	2	I would also like to point out, 1972 is a
They	3	long time ago, and physicians were still smoking.
	4	had begun quitting in larger numbers at about that
physician	5	time, but I believe it would be hard for a

	7	stop smoking.
1.	8	So I think I urged physicians to stop
smoking		
	9	and then to learn about the techniques, such as they
	10	were, to get their patients to stop smoking.
	11	It turns out that many patients did stop
when		
few	12	their physicians told them to. But there were very
Iew		
	13	physicians who were telling patients to stop smoking.
	14	Q. When did that become, you know, more
	15	prevalent where
	16	A. It's been a gradual change in society's
	17	attitude towards smoking as more and more information
	18	about more and more problems resulting from smoking
	19	develop.
	20	I must say that people in the industry on a
	21	number of occasions would say Steinfeld doesn't know
	22	what's he's doing, smoking causes everything from
	23	halitosis to cancer, to ingrown toenails. And I
don't		
point	24	think we ever mentioned ingrown toenails. But my
Pottie	25	is that when one burns a vegetable leaf, such as
	<u>ل</u> ی	15 chae when one burns a vegecable real, such as
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14285

from

- 1 tobacco, there are -- which has several components to
- begin with, there are at least 4,000 -- I've heard
- T.C. Tso from the agriculture department from the

	4	United States say it may be closer to 6,000 different
	5	chemicals, and when the body is exposed to 6,000
	6	different chemicals that it has not been exposed to
	7	during evolution, it may not know how to cope with
	8	them.
at	9	And if the individual is taking medication
	10	one time or another, has a disease of one sort or
consequences,	11	another, there are all kinds of different
or	12	and potential diseases, and we keep getting new ones
	13	hearing about them every few weeks.
	14	The last one I read about, apparently the
	15	urologists have known for
Hearsay.	16	MR. KIRBY: Objection, Your Honor.
	17	THE WITNESS: I'm
	18	THE COURT: I make the decisions. But he's
	19	right.
	20	THE WITNESS: overruled?
	21	MR. ROSENBLATT: This time.
	22	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	23	Q. Dr. Steinfeld, we've had testimony in this
General's	24	case, the jury's been told, the 1964 Surgeon
	25	Report concluded that cigarette smoking causes lung

14286

1 cancer in men. That was the conclusion 34 years ago.

was	2	Now you're telling me that in the early '70s, there
	3	still a large number of doctors smoking.
	4	A. Yes.
General's	5	Q. Didn't they believe the '64 Surgeon
	6	Report?
	7	MR. KIRBY: Objection.
	8	Q. What do you attribute certainly they
	9	understood
	10	THE COURT: Compound question.
	11	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	12	Q. Okay. My question is, in view of the
	13	conclusion reached in the 1964 Surgeon General's
large	14	Report, why, in your opinion, were there still a
	15	number of doctors smoking in the early '70s?
Hearsay.	16	MR. KIRBY: Objection, Your Honor.
	17	Speculation.
	18	THE COURT: Overruled.
	19	A. I believe they were addicted. I don't know
	20	any of them that said they wanted to smoke. Most of
	21	them said they would like to quit.
	22	I know, in fact, medical oncologists who
	23	continued to smoke until they developed the diseases
	24	that took them off the face of the earth.
	25	MR. HEIM: Your Honor, we move to strike

	1	that.
	2	THE COURT: Yes. I'm going to sustain the
	3	objection and strike the answer
	4	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	5	THE COURT: based upon the fact that we
	6	don't have anything to support that.
	7	MR. MOSS: Would the jury be instructed
instructed.	8	THE COURT: I imagine the jury is
	9	I will instruct them to disregard the last answer.
	10	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
specialized	11	Q. You knew medical oncologists who
	12	in cancer, the treatment of cancer, the diagnosis of
	13	cancer who were, themselves, smokers; correct?
	14	A. Yes. Yes.
	15	Q. Now, have you known cancer surgeons who
	16	actually removed cancerous lungs from people with a
	17	history of heavy smoking who themselves were smokers?
associations	18	A. Yes, but I didn't most of my
	19	were with medical oncologists and hematologists,
	20	because that was the group that
	21	Q. That's the field that you were in?
	22	A. That's the field I was in.
	23	Q. Now, I'm going to ask you a couple of
	24	questions now, unrelated to tobacco, but during
	25	during your tenure as Surgeon General of the United

topics;	1	States, you dealt with a bunch of controversial
	2	correct?
	3	A. Yes, sir.
of	4	Q. What was your position as Surgeon General
	5	the United States, for example, on the subject of
	6	fluoridating the water supply?
	7	MR. KIRBY: Objection, relevance.
	8	THE COURT: Overruled. Tie it up. If he
	9	doesn't tie it up, then we'll strike.
<u>-</u>	10	Right now, subject to being tied up, go
<u>-</u>	11	ahead.
<u>-</u>	12	MR. ROSENBLATT: Well, let me go sidebar.
=	13	THE COURT: All right. Let's go.
=	14	MR. ROSENBLATT: And I'll tell you exactly.
=	15	THE COURT: Okay.
=	16	(The following proceedings were had at
=	17	sidebar:)
=	18	MR. ROSENBLATT: I haven't become Mr. Nice
=	19	Guy all of a sudden, but I really thought this is
:	20	helpful to them. I'm establishing that he made other
:	21	enemies besides the tobacco that's where they
:	22	usually go on cross.
2	23	And quite frankly, I'm I'm from my
2	24	standpoint, I'm preempting it a little: You made
:	25	enemies of the industry, so when they get up and they

	1	start asking questions, you know, which I assume they
	2	will on cross, you know, the tobacco industry was
	3	not
	4	THE COURT: I didn't know where you were
	5	going with this.
	6	MR. ROSENBLATT: That's where I'm going.
	7	THE COURT: He had something to do with
	8	flouride?
	9	MR. ROSENBLATT: I also want to demonstrate
focus	10	that the Surgeon General is 100 percent of his
	11	was not tobacco. He was involved in other subjects.
	12	THE COURT: Okay.
	13	MR. ROSENBLATT: I don't intend to dwell on
	14	any of it.
he	15	THE COURT: Okay. So whatever it was that
	16	did vis-a-vis flouride ruffled the feathers of some
	17	people, period.
	18	MR. ROSENBLATT: Correct.
	19	THE COURT: That's where you're going with
	20	it.
	21	MR. ROSENBLATT: Correct.
	22	MR. HEIM: That's also kind of speculative
	23	whether it ruffled other people's feathers or didn't
stuff.	24	ruffle other people's feathers. It's tangential
	25	THE COURT: If they took definitive action

	1	and tried to stop the report, it might have some
	2	bearing on it. I didn't understand where you were
	3	going.
relevance	4	MR. KIRBY: I don't understand the
	5	of it to what we're trying. If we conduct a cross
don't	6	examination which goes into those areas, which I
	7	think it will be necessary based on Your Honor's
	8	rulings, then it might or might not be an appropriate
	9	matter for redirect.
	10	I just didn't understand.
out.	11	THE COURT: Yes. It seems a little far
	12	MR. ROSENBLATT: I think I have a right to
General	13	show in terms of the general duties the Surgeon
	14	is involved.
	15	THE COURT: That's fine. You can ask him
	16	that.
	17	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay.
	18	THE COURT: But I think the implication
	19	MR. ROSENBLATT: Fine. I'm happy to drop
	20	that aspect.
what	21	THE COURT: He's entitled to talk about
	22	the duties are.
	23	MR. ROSENBLATT: Other issues.
	24	THE COURT: While we're here, what is this
	25	thing that they gave me here? Just so that I

	1	understand what I'm supposed to do with it.
	2	MR. HEIM: What is it, Judge?
	3	THE COURT: This is Dr. Spears. Is this a
	4	copy?
deposition.	5	MS. LUTHER: That's a copy of the
	б	MR. HEIM: That's the one.
	7	THE COURT: There's two copies here?
	8	MR. HEIM: What does he say in the letter?
	9	Is there more than one copy?
	10	THE COURT: It doesn't say.
	11	MR. KIRBY: Courtesy copy of amended
	12	objections.
What	13	THE COURT: Well, this is 1 through 15.
	14	is this? They're obviously two of them.
	15	MR. KIRBY: It's just
them?	16	THE COURT: I only need to read one of
	17	MR. KIRBY: Are they different dates?
different	18	THE COURT: I don't know if they're
	19	dates. This is April 15 yes, they are.
	20	MR. KIRBY: That's what it is.
one	21	MR. HEIM: That's what it is. More than
	22	volume.
are	23	MR. KIRBY: I think where the tabs start
	24	exhibits and not text.

	1	MR. KIRBY: It's not a miniscript.
	2	THE COURT: I'll have it for you tomorrow.
	3	MR. KIRBY: I'll tell Mr. Newsom.
	4	(The sidebar conference was concluded, and
	5	the following proceedings were held in open court:)
	6	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	7	Q. Dr. Steinfeld, where I was going with that
States,	8	question was, as Surgeon General of the United
	9	during your approximately four-year tenure, you were
	10	concerned with things other than tobacco issues?
	11	A. Oh, my God, yes.
	12	Q. Tell us about some of them, some of the
	13	issues that in the interest of public health you got
	14	involved in, you know, to the extent of issuing
get	15	directives or making policy statements or trying to
	16	certain things accomplished?
	17	A. Well, the first was flouride when I was an
I'm	18	acting deputy assistant secretary, and there was
the	19	not sure there was a Surgeon General that was
	20	biggest stacks of papers, of which there were many,
	21	many stacks of papers in the offices of the Surgeon
	22	General and the assistant secretary for Health and

as	23	Scientific Affairs, because the job had been vacant,
appointment	24	I described earlier, because John Knowles
	25	had been held up and, in fact, never occurred.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14293		
	1	So I went and I was living alone because
up	2	my family had returned to California. I used to get
	3	very early, come down, eat breakfast at HEW, work all
home.	4	day and eat dinner there or have a chicken pie at
papers.	5	So I was able to read through lots and lots of
not	6	This was impressive to doctors maybe, but I'm sure
	7	to lawyers.
made	8	In any event, the first decision that I
	9	was that the fluoridation of water supplies should be
Service,	10	the policy of the United States Public Health
enemies	11	and, therefore, the United States. There were
	12	there because there were people
	13	Q. Well, I'm not so much
	14	A. Okay.
	15	interested in asking you about the
you	16	enemies. But, okay, what was the reason behind
of	17	say you basically issued a policy directive in favor

18	fluoridation of water. Why?
19	A. Well, the most common disease in the United
20	States at that time, according to my next-door
21	neighbor, who was the national director of the
22	Institute of Dental Research, 99 percent of the
23	population either had or would have caries, dental
24	caries.
25	Q. Cavities?
	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
	COPIRIGHT 1990V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14294	
14294	
1 from	A. Yes. And over a period now, let's see,
2	1969 through today, in addition later, I think
3	toothpaste companies began putting flouride in.
4	However, now, dental caries is not a common disease
5	and, in fact, a number of dental schools have closed
as	
6	a result.
7	
was	So, that was not a deadly disease, but it

percent,

9 their teeth filled.

10 Q. And you feel that the fluoridation of the

water essentially cured that problem? Not 100 11

12 obviously, but --

13 A. It was a major step, I would say. And we

don't have as many dentists. 14

15 Q. What, if anything, did the Surgeon General

16 during your tenure take a position on programming on

	17	television?
	18	A. My predecessor, William Stuart, and Will
Congress	19	Stuart followed Luther Terry, was asked by the
produced	20	to set up a committee similar to the one that
	21	the first report on smoking, and he did.
	22	He found groups of people who were
	23	knowledgeable about programming, about behavior of
	24	children and youth and adults, and he did one other
th a	25	thing for which I got blasted; namely, he sent out
the		
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14295		
14295 networks	1	list of the experts to the heads of the three
	1 2	list of the experts to the heads of the three asking if they were acceptable.
networks	2	asking if they were acceptable.
networks	2	asking if they were acceptable. I will remind you that Surgeon General
networks	2 3 4	asking if they were acceptable. I will remind you that Surgeon General Terry sent a list of the experts he was going to
networks	2 3 4 5	asking if they were acceptable. I will remind you that Surgeon General Terry sent a list of the experts he was going to appoint to this first committee on smoking and health
networks	2 3 4 5 6	asking if they were acceptable. I will remind you that Surgeon General Terry sent a list of the experts he was going to appoint to this first committee on smoking and health to the heads of the tobacco companies for them to
networks	2 3 4 5 6	asking if they were acceptable. I will remind you that Surgeon General Terry sent a list of the experts he was going to appoint to this first committee on smoking and health to the heads of the tobacco companies for them to strike out anybody they felt was prejudiced.
networks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asking if they were acceptable. I will remind you that Surgeon General Terry sent a list of the experts he was going to appoint to this first committee on smoking and health to the heads of the tobacco companies for them to strike out anybody they felt was prejudiced. And I wasn't in the office very long when I
networks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asking if they were acceptable. I will remind you that Surgeon General Terry sent a list of the experts he was going to appoint to this first committee on smoking and health to the heads of the tobacco companies for them to strike out anybody they felt was prejudiced. And I wasn't in the office very long when I was confronted with, why did you give these heads of

hopefully,

13 but I agree with it because that's the way,

	14	we'll get an accurate report.
Surgeon	15	Q. During your tenure, was a report a
environmental	16	General's Report issued on the subject of
	17	tobacco smoke or secondhand smoke?
	18	A. There was a chapter, yes. Well, I hadn't
	19	finished with the other, but that's okay.
you're	20	Q. Go ahead. Sometimes, you know, I feel
	21	veering off, so I but if you want to finish, go
	22	ahead.
	23	A. Yes. I would like to.
	24	Q. Go ahead.
several	25	A. We, within just a few years, and for

n on
9
as
nat.
2

10 Q. Dr. Steinfeld, you did an article -- you wrote an article that appeared in Readers' Digest in 11 November of 1973, the title of which was, Behind the 12 Great Phosphate Flap. 13 14 And I'd just like to read to you from the first paragraph and then ask you couple of questions 15 about that. 16 MR. KIRBY: Objection, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Now, where are we going with that? I think we ought to talk about that. 19 (The following proceedings were had at 20 sidebar:) 21 22 THE COURT: What's relevant about this? MR. ROSENBLATT: Where I'm going with this, 23 you remember that -- just to pick one witness, 24 25 Dr. Michael Siegel, for example, was asked questions

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14297

- 1 along the line of, you're a zealot, you're an
- antitobacco advocate. You know, you've got the gist.
- 3 What I intend to demonstrate through this article,

very

- 4 briefly, is that here he's criticizing a group of
- 5 environmentalists that he totally disagreed with, so
- 6 he's not a one-dimensional guy who is --
- 7 THE COURT: Okay.
- 8 MR. ROSENBLATT: -- who is the
- 9 environmentalist is always right and big industry is

	10	always wrong.
	11	THE COURT: What are you going to read from
	12	there?
	13	MR. ROSENBLATT: The first paragraph.
I	14	MR. KIRBY: I'm not familiar with it. May
1		
	15	look at it?
	16	MR. HEIM: Your Honor, while you're looking
	17	at it
	18	THE COURT: I'm reading it.
	19	MR. HEIM: Reading it.
	20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to see it?
	21	MR. HEIM: No, because my
	22	THE COURT: Do you want to finish?
for	23	MR. KIRBY: No. If that's all, I'll wait
101		
	24	Bob.
	25	MR. HEIM: I wanted to discuss this subject

14298

1	before You	ır Ho	onor rule	es, that the witness talked
2	briefly ak	oout	the foci	us of the articles that they had
3	written.	And	I think	it's different to start reading
4	the artic	le.		
5		THE	COURT:	Yes, I agree with you, in this
6	case.			
7		MR.	KIRBY:	That was the basis for my
8		THE	COURT:	I think you can get into the

opposition or criticism of somebody or the focus of

you	10	this article, that is no problem, but I don't think
	11	have to read from it. It says it in nice and concise
	12	language, but he'll probably say the same thing.
	13	MR. KIRBY: Okay.
	14	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. Fine.
	15	THE COURT: You can ask him about it.
	16	(The sidebar conference was concluded, and
	17	the following proceedings were held in open court:)
	18	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	19	Q. Okay. This article which appeared in the
of	20	Readers' Digest, November 1973, you were the author
	21	it, by Jesse L. Steinfeld, M.D. You were no longer
The	22	Surgeon General at the time this article appeared.
	23	title of the article is, Behind the Great Phosphate
	24	Flap. And it was a very critical article.
	25	Who and what were you criticizing in this

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

- article?
- A. Well, I was criticizing environmental and
- 3 legislative extremism.
- 4 Q. Tell us what specifically. You're talking
- 5 about phosphates, environment. What was the
- environmental extremism that you were opposed to with
- 7 respect to phosphates?
- A. There was something called eutrophication,

lakes,	9	which means aging of bodies of water, primarily
it's	10	because with running water, it doesn't happen, and
	11	a result of having lots and lots of nutrients in the
	12	body of water, so that algae produce enormously, like
die,	13	kind of a blue-green cover, and then as the algae
get	14	its oxygen again is used up, the little fish do not
the	15	enough oxygen and the bigger fish are unable to eat
Lakes.	16	little fish and fishing disappears in the Great
	17	Somebody decided that phosphates in
it	18	detergents was the main problem. I found out about
the	19	one night, and it's a long story, but in any event,
	20	detergent makers had been pressured to use another
	21	substitute called nitrilotriacetic acid. The
	22	phosphates just enable the detergent to do a better
	23	job.
	24	Nitrilotriacetic acid had not been studied
	25	carefully, and some of our scientists found that it

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

- 1 caused defects in animals, potentially carcinogenic,
- 2 and later I believe was found to be carcinogenic, and
- 3 so they were kind of pressured to do something else.
- And some of the Congressmen have plants in

	5	their areas that produced other materials than
	6	phosphates for detergents, that is caustic materials,
	7	lye and other things.
	8	And I became upset. I said the problem is
and	9	not only phosphate, the problem is eutrophication,
	10	there's a public health problem because little kids
get	11	cannot be controlled, they cannot read, and if they
	12	into the laundry room or under the kitchen sink, they
	13	can lose an eye, they can swallow stuff and have
	14	esophageal structures.
were	15	And I made the statement that phosphates
amount	16	safer. And besides which, it turns out that the
	17	of phosphate involved in the eutrophication of the
	18	lakes was minor. The major amount of phosphate came
of	19	from the overuse of fertilizer. Fertilizer had lots
	20	phosphate in it.
	21	The second major source of phosphate in the
	22	Great Lakes was human and animal excretive. So what
plants,	23	needed to be done was to build better treatment
detergents,	24	and in a few areas, perhaps reformulate the
	25	but to put warning labels on any detergent that could

14301

1 be harmful to children.

	2	Q. So I think the bottom line is that with
took	3	respect to phosphates specifically, you basically
	4	the side of the detergent manufacturers against the
	5	environmentalists?
	6	A. There were multiple there were detergent
detergents,	7	manufacturers who were making non-phosphate
it.	8	but they were they had problems associated with
Let's	9	And I was taking the side of the public health.
appropriately,	10	take care of the eutrophication problem
	11	get rid of most of the phosphates, rather than just
	12	aiming at the detergent industry, which would not do
	13	it.
	14	It was my favorite expression, for every
	15	complex problem, there is a simple answer, and it's
	16	wrong. And the simple answer to eutrophication is
	17	detergent phosphates, and it was wrong.
Surgeon	18	Q. Dr. Steinfeld, during your tenure as
	19	General, the warning that appeared on packages of
	20	cigarettes by congressional legislation said as
	21	follows: Warning: The Surgeon General has
determined		
	22	that cigarette smoking is dangerous to your health.
	23	Were you the Surgeon General who made that
	24	determination?
	25	A. I was the Surgeon General when that warning

talk

- was put on the pack.
- 2 Q. And did you agree with the warning?
- MR. KIRBY: Objection, Your Honor. 3
- Preemption. 4
- THE COURT: No. That's -- overruled. 5
- 6 BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
- 7 Q. Did you agree with the warning, the Surgeon
- 8 General has determined that cigarette smoking is
- 9 dangerous to your health?
- 10 A. As far as it went. I would have made it
- 11 stronger.
- Q. Now, from that -- that warning remained --12
- MR. MOSS: Your Honor, may we approach? 13
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. I guess we'll have to
- 15 about that.
 - THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I shouldn't 16
 - volunteer anything? 17
 - 18 (The following proceedings were had at
 - 19 sidebar:)
 - 20 MR. MOSS: The problem we have is obvious.
 - 21 THE COURT: Yes. I'll have to have it
 - stricken. It did make a reference. The first 22
 - 23 objection was not. But then --
 - 24 MR. KIRBY: I thought I knew where we were
 - 25 going.

have	1	THE COURT: Well, he didn't necessarily
	2	to go there, but he did.
	3	MR. MOSS: But I would have guessed it.
	4	THE COURT: I'll strike it and instruct the
	5	jury to disregard it.
	6	MR. ROSENBLATT: Only the comment that he
	7	would have gone further.
	8	THE COURT: Yes.
	9	MR. MOSS: Now, here's the problem, and I
	10	understand striking it
	11	THE COURT: Unless you don't want to do it.
is	12	MR. MOSS: No, no, no, sir. What I think
15	13	this jury needs an instruction on preemption, because
		otherwise all of this is making no sense to them.
	15	THE COURT: Well, that's important.
	16	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, in that regard
		you've we've done this before. I have the portion
		of the transcript where you gave the curative
	19	instruction and you pointed out that the warnings
were		
	20	governmentally imposed and they are sufficient in and
	21	of themselves as warnings to the general public.
	22	MR. ROSENBLATT: Well, then, obviously
	23	there's no need to repeat that.
	24	MR. MOSS: Well, there sure is.
	25	THE COURT: Do you recall the date I did

	1	that? Where in the case?
bottom,	2	MR. KIRBY: It should be down at the
Doccom,	3	Your Honor.
	J	Tour Honor.
	4	THE COURT: 10/19. What were we doing?
	5	MR. ROSENBLATT: That was when I was doing
	6	opening.
	7	THE COURT: That was during opening?
	8	MR. KIRBY: Yes, sir.
	9	THE COURT: How did you pull this out so
	10	fast?
	11	MR. MOSS: I was going to ask the same
	12	question.
	13	MR. HEIM: Me, too.
	14	MR. KIRBY: I have good help.
	15	THE COURT: I guess so.
	16	Okay. I think that's worded appropriately
	17	under the circumstances.
	18	MR. ROSENBLATT: But our position is that
	19	you've told them that. It becomes
answers	20	THE COURT: But when I start striking
problem	21	and responses, it's a reminder, and there's no
	22	with that.
	23	MR. HEIM: Actually, Your Honor, I would
	24	the point that Mr. Moss was saying is that we're
	25	telling

	1	MR. ROSENBLATT: It's break time anyway.
	2	THE COURT: Good time for a break.
	3	I never had what's the word the
	4	opportunity is not the word I wanted to use, the
and	5	pleasure of having somebody just whip out something
	6	give it to me during trial and saying, here's what
	7	you're talking about.
something,	8	MR. MOSS: I'm going to bring you
	9	too. I'm not as nearly prepared, but I'll bring you
	10	something that I was alluding to.
	11	That is, we made a motion early on
	12	MR. ROSENBLATT: I haven't heard anything.
pretty	13	MR. MOSS: and in that motion is a
to	14	straightforward preemption definition, and I'm going
	15	try to find that for you.
	16	THE COURT: Not this one.
	17	MR. HEIM: What we're talking about here,
	18	Judge, is we're spoon-feeding
	19	THE COURT: I know.
	20	MR. HEIM: a sentence or two.
	21	THE COURT: I agree to read this.
	22	MR. HEIM: I understand that, Judge. We
	23	think that the three or four paragraphs that we
of	24	proposed to you before explaining to them the issue
	25	preemption would solve a lot of problems.

	1	THE COURT: You mean as to the dates?
	2	MR. HEIM: Right. So then they would know
	3	what the issue is here.
	4	MR. MOSS: Let me find that for you.
	5	THE COURT: Okay.
give	6	MR. MOSS: Maybe we'll find it. Can you
	7	me until after the break to find it?
	8	THE COURT: Give you time during the break.
	9	MR. MOSS: That's what I mean.
You	10	THE COURT: Okay. Take a break, Doctor.
anyone.	11	must not discuss your testimony with anybody or
	12	Talk about politics.
	13	(The sidebar conference was concluded.)
	14	(A recess was taken.)
	15	THE COURT: Okay.
	16	MR. MOSS: Judge, on the instruction, it's
	17	coming it will be here should be here
	18	momentarily.
off?	19	THE COURT: Do you want me to wait, hold
	20	MR. MOSS: I think we can. I think I
	21	think yes. And we'll take this up. I think any
	22	time would be the the timing would be
particular	23	THE COURT: I'm talking about this

24 one.

25 MR. MOSS: I would just assume hold off

until

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14307

1 you can look	at the	otner (one,	pecause	Τ.	aon't	し	tnink
----------------	--------	---------	------	---------	----	-------	---	-------

- 2 you want to do it twice. And I think the other one
- 3 really does it. But I do think that the jury ought

to

4 be told the last answer, to disregard the last

answer.

- 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right.
- 6 MR. ROSENBLATT: Not the last answer, the
- 7 last part of the answer that said that Dr. Steinfeld
- 8 would have gone beyond the warning.
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 MR. ROSENBLATT: There was no problem

there.

- 11 THE COURT: The other part of the answer is
- 12 okay.
- MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes.
- MR. MOSS: Well, you see what it was is

what

15 did you think about the warning. And his thought

about

- 16 the warning --
- 17 THE COURT: Let me get the answer.
- 18 MR. MOSS: This is why I knew we were going
- 19 to get into trouble. The sufficiency of the warning

is

20 determined by Congress.

you	21	THE COURT: Yes. But his question is, do
	22	agree with the warning.
	23	MR. MOSS: Do you agree with the warning.
	24	THE COURT: The Surgeon General has
	25	determined that cigarette smoking is dangerous to
your		
		TANGOD TONOUTO WILTER C CENTRON
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14308		
	1	health. There's nothing wrong with that question.
And		The second secon
	2	he said, "As far as it went." And then he said, "I
	3	would have made it stronger."
	4	MR. MOSS: But as far as it went also
	5	presents the same problem, because we're getting I
	6	mean, that's what preemption is about.
	7	Congress made the determination. The fact
irrelevant	8	that someone agrees or disagrees with it is
	9	because of preemption.
	10	THE COURT: Yes. Well, I'll tell you what.
	11	If he said yes, it would be different.
	12	MR. MOSS: Well, if he said yes it makes
	13	no difference.
	14	MR. HEIM: Actually, what he said, Judge,
	15	was I pulled it up on the screen. The answer was,
	16	"As far as it went."
	17	THE COURT: Yes. I have it.
	18	MR. HEIM: Oh, I'm sorry.
	19	THE COURT: That's the issue. I thought he

went."	20	had said yes, but but he says, "As far as it
	21	And I would agree that that answer
	22	MR. MOSS: That is the problem.
	23	THE COURT: is the problem. Yes. Okay.
	24	MR. MOSS: I would just ask at this time
	25	THE COURT: All right. Strike the last
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
		COPIRIGHT 1990V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14309		
2.2007		
	1	question and last answer. You can ask the question
	2	again, if the answer is yes. If the answer is no or
	3	modified, I mean, that's a different story.
	4	MR. MOSS: That's the problem, because once
	5	the question is asked the only
way.	6	MR. ROSENBLATT: I'll ask it a different
	7	I won't ask him if he agrees. I will simply ask him
	8	that did that that warning was on packages of
	9	cigarettes throughout your tenure and it remained the
	10	warning until 1984, when
	11	THE COURT: A new one.
	12	MR. ROSENBLATT: Congress mandated the
	13	four rotated warnings.
	14	THE COURT: That's fine.
	15	MR. ROSENBLATT: And I'll get around it.
	16	THE COURT: That's fine. Okay. We'll do
	17	that.
	18	MR. REID: Judge, could I hand up I
tried		

	19	to go through the deposition and make some notes.
	20	THE COURT: Yes.
	21	MR. REID: I'd like to hand this up if I
	22	could. These are the ones I object to. Maybe you've
	23	already ruled on them.
	24	THE COURT: I haven't ruled on them.
	25	MR. REID: What I did was earmark the
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
		COPIRIGHT 1996V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14310		
14310		
	1	objections, the pages where the objections are made.
	2	Some as to relevance would not be raised in the
	3	deposition. Only form. That's our position. I
	4	apologize for my writing.
	5	THE COURT: I can read it. My handwriting
	6	nobody can read.
	7	THE WITNESS: I can't even read my own
	8	writing.
	9	(Discussion off the record.)
	10	THE COURT: Anything?
concerned.	11	MR. ROSENBLATT: Not as far as I'm
concerned.	12	I'm ready to go.
	13	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, it's my
understanding	13	MR. KIKBI: TOUL HOHOL, It'S MY
with	14	that plaintiffs' counsel intends to use documents
w T C11	15	this witness, and yet we didn't have any notice of
that	10	ents withess, and yet we didn't have any notice of
	16	fact

19 to go through the deposition and make some notes.

		•
	18	MR. KIRBY: in the pretrial scheduling
	19	order and all. Certainly not 72 hours but not any at
	20	all.
	21	MR. ROSENBLATT: How about a year's notice?
	22	One of the documents I'm going to use is a document
h	23	that was used with this witness during the Broin
trial,	24	which was which was a year ago. And I
	25	which was which was a year ago. And I
	25	MR. MOSS: You know, we
		TAVIOD TOMOVIC MUITTE : CENDRON
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14311		
	1	MR. ROSENBLATT: You know, the Nixon letter
	2	I'm not being allowed to refer to. There's a letter
of	_	2011.g allowed to lold to. 111010 2 a locati
	3	October 23, which I would think that R.J. Reynolds
of	4	would be very proud of. It sets forth the position
	5	the
	6	THE COURT: Okay. So you're telling them
now		
	7	which letters are
	8	MR. ROSENBLATT: Well, this
first	9	THE COURT: I'm not saying this is the
	10	time. I'm just saying you're telling them now that
	11	these are the documents you are talking about.
	12	MR. ROSENBLATT: Correct, that I'm going to
	13	use.
	=	

THE COURT: Okay.

17

THE COURT: They are a letter?

15	MR. ROSENBLATT: A letter of October 23,
16	1972, from the president of R.J. Reynolds
17	THE COURT: Okay. What else?
18	MR. ROSENBLATT: to his boss, Elliott
19	Richardson.
20	THE COURT: What else?
21	MR. ROSENBLATT: A document that came up on
22	Dr. Steinfeld's deposition, Survey of Cancer Research
23 by	with Emphasis upon Possible Carcinogens from Tobacco
24	Claude E. Teague, Junior dated February 2nd, 1953.
25	These are marked
	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14312	
14312	THE COURT: These are in the Broin case?
	THE COURT: These are in the Broin case? MR. ROSENBLATT: No.
1	
1 2	MR. ROSENBLATT: No.
1 2 3	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle?
1 2 3 4	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle? MR. MOSS: No, sir.
1 2 3 4 5	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle? MR. MOSS: No, sir. MR. ROSENBLATT: It's got an Engle
1 2 3 4 5	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle? MR. MOSS: No, sir. MR. ROSENBLATT: It's got an Engle Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle? MR. MOSS: No, sir. MR. ROSENBLATT: It's got an Engle Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number. THE COURT: All right. It's in the Engle
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle? MR. MOSS: No, sir. MR. ROSENBLATT: It's got an Engle Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number. THE COURT: All right. It's in the Engle case.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 made	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle? MR. MOSS: No, sir. MR. ROSENBLATT: It's got an Engle Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number. THE COURT: All right. It's in the Engle case. MR. MOSS: The point I think needs to be
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 made 10 11	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. THE COURT: Engle? MR. MOSS: No, sir. MR. ROSENBLATT: It's got an Engle Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number. THE COURT: All right. It's in the Engle case. MR. MOSS: The point I think needs to be is that Mr. Rosenblatt suggested that these documents

	14	MR. MOSS: That's not you're not
doctor	15 s	suggesting that that document was used with the
	16	in the Broin
	17	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. I didn't say that.
	18	MR. MOSS: No, but the Court
	19	MR. ROSENBLATT: I said the letter from
	20 I	Richardson.
did	21	MR. MOSS: The Court thought that, and so
	22	I. And that didn't I think he's through with the
	23	category used in Broin that he says should be no
This	24 s	surprise, when he refers to the Richardson depo.
	25 r	new document is one which we have not received any
14313		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
	1 r	notice of.
	2	THE COURT: Which new document are you
		THE COURT: Which new document are you talking about?
	3 t	talking about?
	3 t	talking about? MR. MOSS: This one that he's talking about
	3 t 4 5 r	MR. MOSS: This one that he's talking about now, the Teague document.
	3 t 4 5 r	MR. MOSS: This one that he's talking about now, the Teague document. THE COURT: You've never seen it except it
	3 t 4 5 r 6 7 6 8	MR. MOSS: This one that he's talking about now, the Teague document. THE COURT: You've never seen it except it came up on his deposition?
	3 t 4 5 r 6 7 6 8	MR. MOSS: This one that he's talking about now, the Teague document. THE COURT: You've never seen it except it came up on his deposition? MR. ROSENBLATT: It was used on his

12 from Broin now to the deposition.

	13	THE COURT: Yes. Which deposition are we
	14	talking about?
	15	MR. ROSENBLATT: The Engle deposition.
	16	THE COURT: In the Engle deposition. If it
	17	came up in Engle, then you're on notice.
notice	18	MR. MOSS: Well, we're on we're on
the	19	that it came up in the Engle depo. If we abandoned
	20	requirement of the pretrial order, that he tells us
	21	what documents he's going to use with a witness. I
	22	mean
	23	THE COURT: I see. That's the problem.
	24	There's been no follow-up on it.
	25	MR. MOSS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

	1	MR. KIRBY: Right.
	2	THE COURT: What about the follow-up? I
	3	mean, just because a document is produced during a
supposed	4	deposition, the rule did provide that you were
	5	to let them know by some sort of writing or document
	6	that you were going to use it in trial.
	7	MR. ROSENBLATT: Judge, I honestly don't
	8	know. I'd have to get people from my office who were
	9	involved I think it was. You know, if it wasn't,
	10	we're I'm using basically two or three documents,
	11	you know, with this witness. This is a document they

-	12	focused in I mean, just talk about artificiality -
	13	they focused in
It's	14	THE COURT: Let me tell you what it is.
try	15	not really artificiality. It's that we started to
unfortunately,	16	to get a set of rules to work by. And,
	17	one of the rules was there was supposed to be notice
were	18	sometime to the defense exactly what documents you
	19	going to use with what witness so they could be
	20	prepared. That seems only to be reasonable.
	21	The problem is, since a witness has been
	22	talked to before, has been deposed before in another
	23	case, and the same document was being used then,
	24	they're saying, well, that's fine, all well and good,
	25	but the rules do provide you give us a list of those

14315		
you're	1	documents for this case so we would know whether
on.	2	abandoning that approach or not and so forth and so
	3	I think that's rather reasonable.
not,	4	Then the big question now is whether or
	5	because you didn't comply with the first rule of
	6	notification
	7	MR. ROSENBLATT: I may have.

	8	THE COURT: in writing
	9	MR. ROSENBLATT: Really may have.
Should	10	THE COURT: But they say you haven't.
Silouta		
	11	those documents then be prohibited. And so that all
	12	boils down to prejudice. That's where we're at.
	13	Prejudice is a judicial determination.
	14	MR. ROSENBLATT: And our position, Judge,
the	15	obviously there's no prejudice. They focused in on
	16	Nixon letter and they knew from the Broin trial that,
	17	since you wouldn't let me get the Nixon letter in or
position	18	let Dr. Steinfeld talk about it, my fall-back
to	19	was the letters again from the president of Reynolds
	20	the Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, and
	21	there's one other letter that was also gone into from
	22	the secretary to Mr. Pepples, and Mr. Pepples'
	23	response
at	24	THE COURT: I think I'd better take a look
	25	it.

1		MR. ROSENBLATT: We're talking about two
2	letters.	They came in on the Broin case.
3		THE COURT: Let's not talk about Broin.
4		MR. ROSENBLATT: I'm just dating it. I've
5	learned -	- I'm certainly not saying to you I

way,	6	wouldn't say to you that, you know, you ruled that
	7	you have to rule this way.
	8	THE COURT: Let me see what we've got here.
	9	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, I think counsel
have	10	inadvertently misspoke. My recollection is it may
	11	been identified in Broin. My I do not have a
	12	recollection that they were admitted.
they	13	THE COURT: I don't care if they were or
	14	weren't.
	15	MR. KIRBY: All we were asking for was just
	16	some fair notice under the rule, even a day's notice.
	17	MR. HEIM: Those are the two, Judge.
	18	Basically these are the ones.
	19	(The following proceedings were had at
	20	sidebar:)
	21	MR. KIRBY: I would point out as well, Your
	22	Honor, and I don't think we ought to have to get to
	23	this level because of the notice requirement in the
letters	24	pretrial scheduling order that neither of these
	25	had been properly identified or authenticated. There

- is no Reynolds production number on this October 23
- letter. We didn't produce the document.
- 3 THE COURT: Save that for a minute.
- 4 What we ought to do is voir dire on this

	5	outside the presence of the jury to see where we're
	6	going to go with it. Okay.
	7	(The sidebar conference was concluded, and
	8	the following proceedings were held in open court:)
	9	MR. ROSENBLATT: Voir dire.
	10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	11	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
Surgeon	12	Q. Dr. Steinfeld, during your tenure of
	13	General of the United States
	14	THE COURT: This is voir dire outside the
	15	presence of the jury. The purpose is to go over an
	16	issue the Court is going to determine should be or
	17	shouldn't be admissible. If it's admissible, we're
	18	going to hear it. If it isn't, we won't do it again.
	19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	20	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
Surgeon	21	Q. Dr. Steinfeld, during your tenure as
	22	General of the United States, who was your immediate
	23	superior?
	24	A. I had several.
	25	Q. Well, I'm let me lead you

- 1 A. The Assistant Secretary for Health and
- 2 Scientific Affairs.
- Q. I want to know who was the boss?

	4	A. Well, the secretary was the ultimate boss.
	5	Q. And who was that?
	6	A. Well, it was first Robert H. Finch, may he
	7	rest in peace, and then it was Elliott Richardson.
	8	Q. I'm focusing in on Elliott Richardson.
	9	A. Elliott Richardson.
	10	Q. The way it worked, if Mr. Richardson if
	11	Secretary Richardson had received a letter from any
	12	tobacco company, from an executive of a tobacco
letter?	13	company, would he have made you aware of such a
	14	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, could we not lead
	15	during this? This is very important.
	16	THE COURT: I'm not so sure that's leading.
	17	MR. KIRBY: I thought it was, but it turned
	18	out I was wrong.
a	19	THE COURT: Well, I mean, the definition of
offered.	20	leading question is one in which the answer is
	21	MR. KIRBY: Suggested.
	22	THE COURT: Or suggested. And did you or
	23	would he have, and the answer could be either yes or
Не	24	no, so that's not a suggested answer. Leading is:
	25	would have done this, wouldn't he? That's leading.
		MANIAR TONOVIA PRITER C GENERAL

14319

1 Okay.

2 MR. MOSS: My objection to that question is

3 to	that this witness, or any witness, is not competent
4	testify as to what somebody else would have done.
5	THE COURT: No. The question is what the
6	procedure was.
7 all	MR. MOSS: That wasn't the question, with
8	due respect.
9	THE COURT: That's what
Your 10	MR. MOSS: With all due respect, unless
11	Honor is suggesting
12	THE COURT: That's just a preparatory
13	question to the next one that is, did you do this in
14	this case, has he shown it to you before, blah, blah,
15	blah.
16	We're sort of anticipating here. We're
17	outside the presence of the jury. I'm just trying to
18	get the nuts and bolts.
19	Okay.
20 direct.	MR. ROSENBLATT: Let me just get very
21	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
22 S.	Q. I'm going to show you a letter from David
23	Pepples, the president of R.J. Reynolds, to the
24	Honorable Elliott L. Richardson, dated October 23,
25	1972, and ask you if Mr. Richardson showed you this

	1	letter.
	2	A. I can't tell, because it does not have the
	3	back-up. There is a procedure or was a procedure for
	4	handling mail, and the procedure was that it went to
were	5	something called the executive secretariat, which
	6	a number of bright people who would read a letter and
	7	send it into the bowels of the agency, to the
then	8	appropriate person to prepare a draft answer. And
by	9	it would come up through channels, either initialed
	10	two or three people, or modified along with the first
	11	draft. And frequently, there would be two or three
	12	drafts.
	13	Richardson liked to have option several
that	14	options when we were drafting things for him. But
	15	was the procedure. And I don't want to be facetious,
	16	Judge, but it is hilarious. At times I had mail from
mail	17	relatives with proposed answers. I never saw the
	18	until the it came up with the answers.
	19	So that was the procedure. So this one
had	20	doesn't have any back-up, so I can't tell whether I
	21	seen it or not.
	22	THE COURT: All right.
could	23	THE WITNESS: If it had the back-up, I
	24	tell.
	25	THE COURT: All right.

	1	THE WITNESS: Are you with me?
	2	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	3	Q. Does the contents of that letter
	4	A. Oh, I've seen it many times.
	5	Q. That's my question. That's my question.
	6	Have you seen this letter?
	7	A. Yes, I've seen the letter.
	8	Q. Okay.
	9	A. Oh, I thought you meant did I do a draft of
	10	it. I'm sorry. I've seen the letter before.
question	11	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, in fact, the
	12	was not whether he'd seen the letter.
	13	THE COURT: I know.
	14	MR. KIRBY: The question was did
1	15	Mr. Richardson show you.
	16	THE COURT: I know all that. And he was
	17	focused in on the procedure, and the question the
	18	ultimate question is have you ever seen this letter
	19	before and, if so, under what circumstances.
	20	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
practical	21	Q. I'm sorry. I was asking you a very
	22	common sense everyday question. Have you seen that
	23	letter before?
	24	A. I have seen the letter before.
	25	Q. Okay. You seem to be anticipating

	1	objections, you know, Dr. Steinfeld. Focus in on the
	2	substance don't worry.
	3	A. Okay.
	4	Q. Don't play lawyer here.
	5	You've seen the letter.
	6	A. I've seen
	7	Q. Did you see it did you see it back then?
	8	A. I do not remember, because I can't I
	9	don't I do not remember when I had seen it.
	10	Q. You were Surgeon General of the United
Richardson	11	States I'm trying to find out if Elliott
	12	or somebody showed you this letter.
	13	A. Elliott Richardson would not show me the
	14	letter. It would come through the mail.
	15	THE COURT: All right. I think the essence
	16	of this thing is that, during your tenure and at the
	17	time that the letter was written, these days
	18	surrounding that period, were you aware of the letter
	19	and its contents?
	20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
anv	21	THE COURT: And did you act on it or take
any	22	action thereto?
	23	THE WITNESS: Yes. It is a letter that I
	24	probably prepared a draft answer for.
	25	THE COURT: Okay. But you can't remember?

1	THE WITNESS: I can't. I don't have the
2	draft answer.
3	THE COURT: Yes?
4	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, in fairness, he was
5	just asked by plaintiffs' counsel: Did you see it
6	did you see it back then?
7	THE COURT: I know.
8	MR. KIRBY: His answer: I do not remember.
9	THE COURT: I know. I just asked him the
10	same question, and he says he did. Now we have a
11	little bit of a problem here as to if we can narrow
it	
12	down.
13	My question to you is, at the time that you
14	were the Surgeon General, the date is on the letter,
15	know that
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: so we're talking about a few
18	days or soon after the letter was written or
19	thereabouts; in other words, in the official duties
20	that you were performing at that time, did you become
21	aware of the contents of the letter and take official
22	action?
23	THE WITNESS: The answer is yes, I did.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Now, what's the thrust
of	
25	the next question?

	1	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	2	Q. The next question is, did you were you
	3	aware, for example, in that letter, Dr. Steinfeld,
August	4	Mr. Pepples refers to the secretary's letter of
	5	28th, commenting upon my earlier letter.
	6	Back then, during that time frame when you
in	7	were Surgeon General of the United States, were you,
Richardson's	8	fact, made aware of these letters, Elliott
	9	letter to him of August 28th, and Pepples' letter to
	10	President Nixon?
	11	THE WITNESS: The answer is yes, I was.
	12	THE COURT: That's all you want to do with
	13	the letter?
I	14	MR. ROSENBLATT: Well, no. Then I then
contents	15	intend to ask him certain questions about the
	16	of the letter and establish that pressure was being
	17	put I mean, what the I want to offer the letter
if	18	in evidence. And the letter will speak for itself,
	19	Your Honor allows it in evidence.
	20	My purpose is
excuse	21	MR. MOSS: Your Honor, hold on. Just
need	22	me for one moment. If we're going to do this, we

	23 to excus	e the witness.
	24	THE COURT: Excuse the witness.
	25	MR. ROSENBLATT: Fine.
	C	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON OPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14325		
	1	THE WITNESS: All right.
	2	THE COURT: If you don't mind.
	3	THE WITNESS: No, no. Should I go?

4 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 5 (The witness exited the courtroom.) MR. ROSENBLATT: He's complaining -- this 6 7 letter complains about actions taken by the Surgeon Generals and the department. This Surgeon General 8 9 mentions Steinfeld specifically, and the department, vis-a-vis tobacco and health. 10 And I don't understand why they're 11 objecting. It sets forth the position of the tobacco companies 12 as 13 to why the government is being very unfair toward them. And, obviously, when I get to the area 14 where he left the office of Surgeon General, and he does 15 not know -- he cannot say that no one told him that as a 16 17 result of this letter, Pepples' or R.J. Reynolds got him fired. And I'm simply going to say, you know, 18 you left before your term was up, and what was the 19 circumstances of your leaving? 20

	21	He's not going to say that anyone told him
unhappiness	22	that, as a result of the tobacco industry's
	23	with him, you know, he was being pressured to leave.
	24	But I think it's a fair inference.
	25	MR. MOSS: What is he going to say in
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14326		
	1	response to that?
	2	MR. ROSENBLATT: You never know with him.
	3	MR. MOSS: Well, that's the point. That's
	4	exactly the point.
	5	THE COURT: Well.
	6	MR. MOSS: And we
	7	THE COURT: Let's put it this way. You ask
	8	him that question without reference to the letters.
	9	We'd like to know what the answer is.
presence	10	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. Outside the
	11	of the jury. Fine.
	12	THE COURT: I mean now. And then you're
	13	going to ask him the question: What were the
	14	circumstances of your leaving?
	15	If he says, I got another job or they asked
	16	me to change my opinion about something I didn't want
	17	to do, or whatever it might be, whatever it might be,
	18	if he doesn't mention the letter.
	19	Now if he says, on the other hand, well, it

	20	was my understanding that the tobacco companies put
got	21	pressure on the President and then the secretary to
get	22	rid of me, then we have to deal with that. I don't
	23	know what he's going to say.
	24	MR. MOSS: Well, the question itself calls
	25	for hearsay.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14327		
things,	1	THE COURT: Well, there may be other
	2	other than hearsay. And we don't know the answer to
	3	the question.
	4	MR. MOSS: I guess we have to find out.
	5	THE COURT: We have to find out.
	6	So let's bring him back and ask that
	7	question. We may have to shuffle him back and forth,
	8	in and out.
	9	(The witness entered the courtroom.)
	10	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
	11	Q. Dr. Steinfeld, did you leave your position
as		
	12	Surgeon General of the United States before your
	13	official term had expired?
	14	A. Yes.
	15	Q. What were the circumstances of your leaving
	16	early?
ologtica	17	A. On the day after the election re-
election	1.0	
	18	of President Nixon, he called a meeting of all of his

	19	senior staff and asked for their resignation. And I
	20	was in an airport in Los Angeles, and I was paged,
to	21	talked to my secretary. She told me I was supposed
	22	be at a one o'clock meeting, in a half an hour, and I
	23	obviously couldn't make it.
	24	And she said: I can tell you what it's
	25	about. They want their resignation. They asked for
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14328		
	1	everybody's except, I think, the Secretary of State.
	2	Q. So they asked for your resignation, and you
	3	gave it, and it was accepted?
	4	A. It was a little more complicated.
	5	Q. Well, that's what I want to know.
didn't	6	A. Yes. I had a term appointment, which
listed	7	expire. Most of the political appointments were
	8	at the pleasure of the President and for the time
beginning	9	being. Mine said for a period of four years,
	10	on such and such a date.
	11	And I'm afraid I have to give a little
keep	12	background. I had spent several months trying to
	13	the Public Health Service Commission Corps alive.
	14	There was a report called the Perkins report which
	15	recommended doing away with it and only have civil

servants in the department, the FDA, the NIH and CDC,

	17	et cetera.
	18	And I had written letters to all the
	19	Commission officers, got responses from many, many,
	20	several thousand, and I wrote I think an 18-page memo
me	21	to Elliott. And I will say to his credit, he called
	22	in and said he read it and said he changed his mind,
	23	was going to keep it, preserve it.
my	24	At that point, I felt that I had completed
	25	duties and I was perfectly happy. So I sent a letter
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14329		
	1	of resignation thanking the President for the
a	1	of resignation thanking the President for the opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was
a		
a	2	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was
a	2	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter.
a	2 3 4	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not
a	2 3 4 5	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not what we want. We want a letter that says, in
a	2 3 4 5 6	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not what we want. We want a letter that says, in accordance with the usual custom at the reelection of
a	2 3 4 5 6 7	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not what we want. We want a letter that says, in accordance with the usual custom at the reelection of the President, I am submitting my resignation. Okay.
a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not what we want. We want a letter that says, in accordance with the usual custom at the reelection of the President, I am submitting my resignation. Okay. So I sent a second letter, and I got that back.
a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not what we want. We want a letter that says, in accordance with the usual custom at the reelection of the President, I am submitting my resignation. Okay. So I sent a second letter, and I got that back. I don't think in writing, the chief
a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not what we want. We want a letter that says, in accordance with the usual custom at the reelection of the President, I am submitting my resignation. Okay. So I sent a second letter, and I got that back. I don't think in writing, the chief personnel came, and said, no, we want a letter
a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	opportunity of serving the country. I thought it was very nice letter. I got it back, and was told that that's not what we want. We want a letter that says, in accordance with the usual custom at the reelection of the President, I am submitting my resignation. Okay. So I sent a second letter, and I got that back. I don't think in writing, the chief personnel came, and said, no, we want a letter explaining giving a reason. And I said the reason

of	15	Saturday afternoon when they along with a number
there	16	others. So and I didn't sign a third one, if
	17	is a third one. But that's how it went.
	18	Q. Well, if you had decided to be stubborn
you	19	and you may not know the answer to this, but since
	20	had a term appointment, if you had said, I'm not
	21	submitting any letter of resignation, I'm serving out
	22	my term, was it your understanding they had the power
	23	legally to fire you anyway?
	24	A. Oh, no. No. The head of personnel said
	25	MR. KIRBY: Objection, Your Honor.

1	I'm sorry. There's no jury. It's habit.
2 because	THE COURT: I want to hear the answer
Decause	
3	we're in a voir dire situation.
4 the	A. He said: Jesse he's a good friend
cne	
5	White House wants your you know, wants you to go.
6	They said they'll send you to Alaska.
7	I said: Well, I don't want to go to
Alaska.	
8 Obviously	But I didn't give a reason anyway.
ODVIOUSLY	
9 April	I didn't finish the term. I think I ended up in
APITI	
10	when the you know, using up vacation and that sort
11	of thing, leaving in April, '73.

	12	Q. Putting aside the formalities and putting
Nixon	13	aside the politics of it, after the reelection of
	14	in 1972, was it your intention to remain as Surgeon
	15	General of the United States until the end of your
	16	term?
	17	A. I'm trying to think of this. I was
was	18	ambivalent, I must say. It really depended on who
	19	there. And I'll have to expand on that a little bit.
	20	The President felt that the bureaucracy had
a	21	captured his political appointees, and he had set up
	22	new form for the government with four super-cabinets,
	23	officers, to whom all of the others reported.
	24	Along this same time, he provided uniforms,
	25	very gaudy uniforms, like the little colonel. It
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14331		
	1	didn't go over well with the President.
	2	My problem was I didn't know who I would be
was	3	reporting to, and so I was very ambivalent. If it
	4	somebody, you know, that was I couldn't stand, I
	5	wanted to leave. If it was a good friend, I would
	6	stay.
	7	Richardson, for example, was transferred to
what	8	Defense. He had spent several months planning on

9 he was going to do, because it was a sure thing that

10	Nixon would be reelected. So, you know, I can't I
11 did	would just say I was ambivalent, but my ambivalence
12	disappear with, you know, with events.
13	Q. The only reason you submitted your
14	resignation at the time you did is because you were
15	asked to submit your resignation?
16	A. That's correct. Yes.
17	Q. In your own mind, did you, as a practical
18	matter, think you were being fired?
19	A. Well, yes. I would say so.
20	Q. Did you know the reason why?
21	A. No.
22	THE COURT: Well
23	MR. MOSS: Can we go sidebar?
24	THE COURT: Let's talk about this.
25	THE WITNESS: Shall I?
14332	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
if 1	THE COURT: Yes. Why don't you step out,
2	you don't mind. It's good exercise.
3	THE WITNESS: It is.
4	THE COURT: Keeps the heart going.
5	MR. MOSS: We don't have to go sidebar.
6	(The witness exited the courtroom.)

MR. MOSS: I guess my point is, after

all this, it has nothing to do with anything.

7

hearing

9 hearing	THE COURT: I agree with you. After
10	his answers, it doesn't really relate to the answers.
11	It's too much speculation and supposition as to what
12	the letters mean, if anything, vis-a-vis this. He
13 as	wasn't aware of it. He didn't make reference to it
14	being an answer.
you 15	I think it was a political move, however
16 as	want to interpret it. I think his answer will stand
17 of	far as the Court's concerned as to the circumstances
18	his leaving, without any reference to
19	MR. ROSENBLATT: The letters are totally
20	independent of that, Judge, from our standpoint. The
21	letters are what they are.
22	THE COURT: I know.
23	MR. ROSENBLATT: But if you're
24	THE COURT: Getting the letters in through
25	him is a different story. That's a problem.

	1		MR.	MOSS: Correct.
	2		THE	COURT: Okay.
	3		MR.	ROSENBLATT: He saw the he saw the
went	4	letters.	The	letters talk about him. The letters
	5	to his bo	ss.	

I you know, I won't ask him questions
along the line of or I won't ask him any questions
about the circumstances of him leaving. But it would
seem to me that the letters
MR. HEIM: They would have
THE COURT: It doesn't have any relevance.
MR. ROSENBLATT: He testified about the
letters, Judge, in the secondhand smoke case.
THE COURT: I don't care about that.
MR. MOSS: Your Honor
MR. ROSENBLATT: But I
MR. MOSS: I'm sorry.
MR. ROSENBLATT: I think we're really
carrying this to an extreme where you almost want to
the opposite.
THE COURT: I may
MR. ROSENBLATT: But there's a logic to
you did in Broin.
THE COURT: I may very well feel that way.
may very well feel that way.
TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14334

	1	MR. MOSS: Judge, I think nothing better
	2	makes our argument than the last narrative answer by
anything	3	the witness. And just we're not dealing with

4 that's relevant here.

	5	MR. ROSENBLATT: The letters are absolutely
	6	relevant in terms of what this case is all about,
	7	Judge.
	8	MR. MOSS: Not through this witness.
anything	9	THE COURT: It doesn't really prove
	10	until Richardson comes in and tells it, and that's
	11	where the problem is.
	12	MR. MOSS: That's the point.
	13	THE COURT: In other words, one could say,
	14	the boss received an excoriating letter from a
	15	disgruntled whoever about the activities of an
	16	underling, and then it just so happened that that
	17	underling was fired for whatever reason, political or
	18	otherwise, that had nothing to do with that letter.
	19	Well, okay. Now, the letter may in and of
	20	itself may be very damming, but it may be meaningless
know	21	as relates to the events that occurred. We won't
	22	that unless we have that determination made by the
firing.	23	person who had the ultimate authority to do the
	24	So for him to sit here and say, well, I was
	25	let go because of political reasons, whatever it may

14335

- 1 be, oh, by the way there was this letter that
- 2 excoriated me, well, you can draw your own

conclusions,

	3	I don't think that's appropriate in a court of law.
	4	That's where the problem comes in.
	5	MR. ROSENBLATT: I don't think it's a
understanding	6	problem, if I understand, because as I'm
problem	7	your ruling, Judge, I think the solution to the
	8	is, I do not connect the letter to him leaving.
	9	THE COURT: He can testify to everything he
	10	said here.
	11	MR. ROSENBLATT: Pardon me?
	12	THE COURT: He can testify to everything he
	13	said here. I'm not worried about that, but it's the
	14	connection to the letter I'm worried about.
frankly,	15	MR. ROSENBLATT: No. If I quite
	16	Judge, if I have to choose between the letter, the
	17	letter is what it is.
nothing	18	THE COURT: I know. But it may have
	19	at all to do with his situation.
	20	MR. ROSENBLATT: With him getting fired.
	21	THE COURT: Right.
going	22	MR. ROSENBLATT: That's fine. I'm not
	23	to make the connect.
	24	THE COURT: Okay.
	25	MR. ROSENBLATT: I'm not going to make the

	1	connect.
	2	THE COURT: So the next point is what
	3	about
	4	MR. ROSENBLATT: The letter should
	5	THE COURT: then getting the letter in
may	6	through its proper procedure, for whatever value it
	7	have for evidence.
	8	MR. ROSENBLATT: Well, I would think this
	9	letter this letter can come in on a Friday. It's
	10	their stationery. They don't question that this is
in	11	Pepples. This lays out the position in terms of
what	12	terms of the guts of this case, Judge, in terms of
	13	the what they're saying is cause, not proven. You
	14	people are not looking at the proper
	15	MR. HEIM: That's not how he intends to use
	16	it here.
	17	THE COURT: I understand.
	18	MR. ROSENBLATT: It is.
	19	THE COURT: I understand.
	20	MR. ROSENBLATT: It is.
	21	THE COURT: Here's what I want you to do if
letter	22	you're not going to get into the content of the
and	23	with him. You mark it for identification purposes
	24	let him identify as having seen it now or about the
at	25	time it was written, and he was aware of its content

	1	that time, that's fine. I have no problem with that.
least	2	We don't get into the contents, but at
least		
	3	you've established that this was a letter that he did
	4	see and so forth, which is in preparation for any
	5	introduction into evidence of that letter through any
	6	other source for any other reason. Okay.
	7	And talk to him about why he was fired and
	8	all, but not connection with the letter and being
	9	fired. One doesn't necessarily have anything to do
	10	with the other.
	11	MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, may I be heard for
	12	just a moment
	13	THE COURT: Yes.
	14	MR. KIRBY: with respect to what it is
	15	that plaintiffs' counsel would like to do?
that	16	The witness just testified on voir dire
	17	during the period of time before the election in
	18	November, he was, quote, trying to keep the Public
	19	Health Service alive, and he testified to his
he	20	ambivalence in staying on and told us candidly that
	21	sent his letter of resignation. This is before
anybody		
	22	ever supposedly fired him. He resigned.
	23	And then they came along and wanted some
	24	letter written in the usual custom, because as he
said,	0.5	
	25	the President felt the bureaucracy had captured his

	1	political appointees and asked for the resignation of
	2	everyone.
	3	THE COURT: Yes.
	4	MR. KIRBY: Now and he says he doesn't
	5	know why he was fired.
	6	Now, what the plaintiffs want to do they
promise	7	may not want to do it with this witness, but I
	8	you that's what they want to do in closing, they want
	9	to say, look at this letter where Pepples supposedly
	10	wrote to Elliott Richardson complaining about the
	11	Surgeon General, and then move and say, the Surgeon
	12	General, you heard him testify, had a term and he
	13	didn't complete his term
	14	THE COURT: Look, I can't
	15	MR. KIRBY: and argue the evidence
decision	16	THE COURT: I can't really make the
	17	or ruling upon the connection that a jury may make
	18	between Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2, okay, and that's
	19	basically what you're talking about. That's their
	20	interpretation of that.
	21	Now, we have a situation like this where he
letter	22	has said that he doesn't know anything about the
	23	as to the response. So be it. If the jury wants to
	24	make that connection, that's their prerogative.
too,	25	MR. KIRBY: May I voir dire the witness,

	1	Your Honor?
	2	THE COURT: On what?
	3	MR. KIRBY: With respect to this letter.
	4	THE COURT: Yes. I suppose you can. He's
	5	not going to use the letter other than
	6	MR. ROSENBLATT: Other than
it.	7	THE COURT: acknowledging that he saw
contents.	8	MR. ROSENBLATT: Not to discuss the
	9	MR. KIRBY: That's what I would like to ask
1	.0	him about.
1	.1	THE COURT: The contents?
1	.2	MR. KIRBY: No, no. The letter, the
years	.3	circumstances under which he thinks now, some 26
-	.4	later, he has a recollection he saw it while he was
1	.5	Surgeon General.
1	.6	THE COURT: Oh. Well, I guess you're
1	.7	entitled to that. Sure.
1	.8	Okay. Bring him out.
the	.9	MR. ROSENBLATT: Although I would say for
	20	record, before the witness comes in, obviously this
2	21	very vivid in his mind.
2	22	THE COURT: I don't know. We'll find out
2	23	what he says. You can argue that later.

24 All right. Bring him in.

25 (The witness entered the courtroom.)

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14340

1	THE COURT: You'll get used to this			
2	in-and-out business.			
3	THE WITNESS: I hope not.			
4	THE COURT: Counsel would like to ask some			
5	questions of you. It's a gray and narrow issue here.			
6	THE WITNESS: I understand.			
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION			
8	BY MR. KIRBY:			
9	Q. Good morning, Dr. Steinfeld.			
10	A. Good morning.			
11	Q. My name is Rick Kirby, and I represent the			
12	R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company.			
13	Mr. Rosenblatt was asking you some			
14	14 about this October 23rd, 1972 letter			
15	A. Uh-huh.			
16	Q just a few moments ago. Do you recall			
17	that?			
18	A. Yes.			
19	Q. You said in response to a question from			

questions

- 20 Mr. Rosenblatt as to whether you had seen this letter
- 21 before, you answered at one time, you can't tell.

And

- then, as I understand it, in response to a question
- 23 from the Court, you answered that at some time you

- 24 became aware of the contents of the letter while you
- were Surgeon General of the United States.

14341

1 A. I'm not sure I answered it that way. I may 2 have misinterpreted his question. And my answer, I tried to explain the 3 4 sequence and that, in the normal course of events, I 5 should have seen it on its way up, and there should be -- there should be back-up of preparation. 6 Q. I agree with you. And there's none, is R there? A. Not on that one, no. That's why I 10 Q. And from looking at this letter, there is nothing --11

hesitated.

- MR. KIRBY: May I, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes. Sure.
- 14 BY MR. KIRBY:
- 15 Q. -- there is nothing --
- 16 THE COURT: Basically I think what we want

to

- 17 know -- what the Court wants to know is are you
- 18 familiar with the contents, and if so, can you recall
- 19 when you first became familiar with the contents of
- 20 that letter?
- MR. KIRBY: May I?
- 22 THE COURT: I'm not talking about a

specific

24 BY MR. KIRBY: 25 Q. For example, Dr. Steinfeld --TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED 14342 MR. ROSENBLATT: Let him answer the Judge's 1 2 question. BY MR. KIRBY: 3 Q. -- is there anything on that letter at all that indicates to you, in all honesty, that you saw 5 it 6 while you were Surgeon General of the United States? 7 A. No. That's -- there is nothing --THE COURT: I'm not concerned -- I really 8 am not concerned with having any procedural marks, edits 9 10 or addendums attached to the letter. That doesn't 11 concern me. MR. KIRBY: I'm going to the next question. 12 13 THE COURT: What concerns me is when did you first see the letter and what causes you to remember 14 15 that? THE WITNESS: I think it was part of a 16 series 17 of letters. I was familiar with all of them and, generally, Elliott took a much stronger position than 18

day, but the general time frame.

23

http://legacy.library.ucsf.@du/tid/gtn07a00/pdf.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/zpjl0001

BY MR. KIRBY:

the milder letters which Dan Horn and I wrote for

19

20

him.

	21	Q. You've never seen any of what you
that	22	characterized a minute ago as the internal things
had	23	would have been associated with this letter had you
	24	some role in them?
	25	A. I can't answer that.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14343		
	1	Q. Let me try it another way. This letter
	2	reports to be dated in October of 1972, and we all
	3	appreciate the fact that was a long, long time ago.
	4	Let me ask you this: In fairness to the
indeed,	5	plaintiffs, in fairness to the defendants and,
	6	in fairness to the Court, can you honestly tell me
while	7	under oath that you know that you saw this letter
	8	you were Surgeon General of the United States?
a	9	A. It doesn't have the back-up. What it is is
	10	letter I am familiar with, and I believe that I had
	11	prepared an earlier draft for it, but as we are both
	12	agreeing, the back-up is not there.
	13	THE COURT: May I see which letter you're
	14	talking about? Because there were two letters made
	15	reference to. There's only one here.
	16	(Document produced.)
to	17	This is a letter from this is a letter

	18	the secretary. Okay. And you say you prepared a
letter?	19	draft. Are you talking about an answer to this
ietter;		
	20	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
	21	THE COURT: Forgetting the answer, I'm
	22	talking about the content. Obviously the content of
	23	this letter is somewhat critical of you as Surgeon
	24	General
	25	THE WITNESS: Yes.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
		COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14344		
	1	THE COURT: so I wanted to know if it
was		
	2	critical of you, does that trigger anything in your
	3	memory as to when you became aware of the content of
	4	this letter, or is that something you learned after
you		
	5	left the office?
	6	THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, what I
	7	say
	8	THE COURT: I know it doesn't have any
something.	9	back-up. I just want to know if it triggers
some ching.	1.0	
that	10	THE WITNESS: Yes. It triggers the fact
	11	I said something I forget what Dan did, but I said
	12	he-was-only-doing-his-job kind of thing, for him to
	13	sign.

14

15

in

THE COURT: Dan being --

THE WITNESS: Dan Horn, who worked for me

	16	the Clearinghouse.
Richardson	17	The letter I remember writing for
	18	was nothing like this. It was short and it said
:	19	much shorter, and it had one option, was to meet
:	20	THE COURT: That's in response to this
:	21	letter?
:	22	THE WITNESS: Yes. And another said, you
:	23	know, Steinfeld is doing his job.
:	24	Richardson writes a much stronger letter
:	25	stating that what the I think
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14345		
	1	THE COURT: Do you remember the Richardson
	2	response?
	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	4	THE COURT: Okay. Do we have that one?
	5	MR. ROSENBLATT: I believe so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me see this one. 7 Okay. Here it is. Let me show you this one. 1896, just for the 9 record, where are we? We never did put this in as far 10 as a number. The October 23rd, 1972, RJR letter is 11 1894. And the November -- boy, I can't really get a date on that -- 9th, I guess, but it looks like it's 12 probably more than that. 13 14 THE WITNESS: We were in the --

	15	THE COURT: 1972. I'll give you that. It
	16	was 1896.
that	17	Now, look at that one and let me know if
Cliat	18	letter is allegedly the one you're making reference
to	10	recter is arregedly the one you're making reference
	19	in response to the Richardson letter.
	20	Is that something different?
Rosenblatt's	21	MR. KIRBY: No. I borrowed Mr.
Robella Face B	22	copy.
	23	THE COURT: Same letter?
	24	MR. KIRBY: 1896.
	25	THE COURT: I don't know if that's
	23	THE COOK! I don't know II that b
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON
		COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14346		
	1	THE WITNESS: Yes. This we prepared a
	2	response a much milder response.
	3	THE COURT: I'm confused with your answer.
	4	The one that this response letter, did you prepare
	5	it or have it caused to be prepared?
_	6	THE WITNESS: I had it caused to be
prepared,		
	7	and it came through me.
	8	THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: We gave him several, and he

THE WITNESS: I mean, this letter is much

THE COURT: The letter there --

10 wrote --

11

	13	stronger.
	14	THE COURT: Let me see. We're getting
	15	confused.
	16	THE WITNESS: This letter came down to us.
	17	THE COURT: Just
	18	MR. KIRBY: For the record
	19	THE COURT: Just, please, sir, just one
	20	second.
letter	21	The letter, which is marked 1984, is a
100001	22	to the secretary
	23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	24	THE COURT: from Mr. Pepples. Okay.
	25	THE WITNESS: Correct.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14347		COFIRIGHT 1990V CABBIRIGHTSGRESERVED
14347		COFIRIGIT 1990V CABBIRIGITSGRESERVED
14347	1	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're
14347	1 2	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're talking about that required some sort of a response?
14347		THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're
14347	2 3 4	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're talking about that required some sort of a response? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The letter in response to the
14347	2	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're talking about that required some sort of a response? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14347	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're talking about that required some sort of a response? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The letter in response to the Pepples letter is 1896. The response letter that was sent out to Mr. Pepples, in response to his letter to
14347	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're talking about that required some sort of a response? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The letter in response to the Pepples letter is 1896. The response letter that was sent out to Mr. Pepples, in response to his letter to the secretary.
14347	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're talking about that required some sort of a response? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The letter in response to the Pepples letter is 1896. The response letter that was sent out to Mr. Pepples, in response to his letter to the secretary. THE WITNESS: Yes. We prepared draft
14347	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Now, that's a letter that we're talking about that required some sort of a response? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The letter in response to the Pepples letter is 1896. The response letter that was sent out to Mr. Pepples, in response to his letter to the secretary.

THE COURT: Not this one. I'm talking

11

it.

about

	12	the one you're holding in your hands.
	13	THE WITNESS: We did.
	14	THE COURT: We being you and your staff?
not	15	THE WITNESS: We prepared earlier drafts,
1100		
	16	this strong. He wrote a much stronger a strong
	17	letter.
	18	THE COURT: That's the one that you
	19	recognized you wrote?
20 21 22 trying	20	THE WITNESS: Yes. We would we couldn't
	21	respond if we didn't have this.
	22	THE COURT: I understand. So what I'm
1 5		
	23	to say and what's important to me is that, while you
	24	were in your office, in tenure at your office, and
	25	doing the duties, the official duties of the Surgeon

14348		
Pepples	1	General, you had seen the letter written by Mr.
	2	to the secretary, and had caused to be drafted a
the	3	response to the letter which was later modified by
	4	secretary and sent out; is that what you're saying?
actually	5	THE WITNESS: Not only had caused, I
	6	did a response.
	7	THE COURT: But not that response.
	8	THE WITNESS: Not that response. Not this
	9	one.

	10	THE COURT: Oray. Tou Courd not have
	11	responded to letter had you never seen?
	12	THE WITNESS: No. No, sir.
	13	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
	14	BY MR. KIRBY:
	15	Q. Doctor
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q the letter of November I guess it's
	18	19
	19	THE COURT: I can't really get the date on
	20	that either. It's so blurred.
	21	BY MR. KIRBY:
that	22	Q 19th or something, 1972, it's the one
	23	has the Exhibit Number 1896 on the bottom.
	24	A. 1896, yes.
	25	Q. It's my understanding you did not prepare
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14349		
	1	that letter. Do you know who did?
himself	2	A. Actually the secretary who did it
	3	or one of his immediate staff. Actually, I think in
	4	the earlier trial, you have all of the back-up stuff
	5	with it may be in the deposition. I think there's
	6	some of the back-up letters there.
on	7	Q. I was just I noticed initials and names
	8	here that I didn't recognize, and I was simply asking

10

THE COURT: Okay. You could not have

9 you since you had just told us you didn't prepare the 10 response --A. I prepared a response. Not this one. 11 Q. Yes, sir. I was asking you if you knew who 12 prepared the response that is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 13 Number 1896. 14 15 A. It looks to me like it's the executive secretariat, E.S., office of the secretary, well, I 16 17 don't -- it's E.S. Health. And Ray Cotton, he worked 18 for the secretary, may have prepared it. Q. Okay. Now, here's what I want to find out. 19 20 Given the fact that you did not prepare 21 response and somebody who worked in the secretary's 22 office did -- are you with me? 23 Α. Yes. I want to ask you the same question that I 24 Q. asked you a minute ago. And that is, can you tell 25

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14350

this

us,

- 1 the plaintiffs, defendants, the Court, that you
- 2 actually know that, back in the fall of 1972, you saw
- 3 that October 23rd letter?
- 4 A. Yes, because I prepared a response to it.
- 5 THE COURT: I thought we established that.
- 6 Okay.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 Do you want to mark these for plaintiffs'

	9	I.D., please?
	10	Okay. Let's get the jury back in.
	11	MR. HEIM: Your Honor, I understand they'll
	12	be marked for identification during the doctor's
	13	testimony?
	14	THE COURT: Yes. I've done that at this
	15	point.
have	16	MR. ROSENBLATT: So I don't even really
	17	to do that in front of the jury or
	18	THE COURT: Well, you can always present
	19	this. Yes, you have to do it in front of the jury so
	20	they know.
	21	MR. HEIM: And at the time that they are
	22	offered for admissibility, if they are
	23	THE COURT: That's a different story.
can	24	MR. HEIM: That's a different story. We
	25	argue that then.
		TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14351		
	1	THE COURT: Yes.
	2	(The jurors entered the courtroom.)
	3	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1896 was marked for
	4	identification.)
	5	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1894 was marked for
	6	identification.)

THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat.

8 Sorry for the delay, folks, but it took a 9 little longer than we thought. 10 BY MR. ROSENBLATT: Q. Doctor, I'd like to have marked for 11 12 identification a letter from David S. Pepples, president of R.J. Reynolds, to your boss when you 13 were Surgeon General of the United States, the Secretary 14 of Health, Education and Welfare, Elliott Richardson, 15 dated October 23, 1972. 16 THE COURT: Give us the number on it. 17 MR. ROSENBLATT: Does it have a number? 18 19 THE COURT: Yes. THE CLERK: Yes. 20 21 THE COURT: On the bottom. MR. ROSENBLATT: Engle Plaintiffs' Exhibit 22 Number -- Engle Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1894. 23 THE COURT: For identification. 24 MR. ROSENBLATT: For identification. 25

TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED

14352

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. ROSENBLATT:

Q. And this -- you've got that letter in front

of you?

A. Yes.

Q. A copy of that letter?

7	This is a letter you saw back then?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And you prepared a response
10	A. A draft response.
11 to	Q. A draft response for Secretary Richardson
12	respond to Mr. Pepples; is that correct?
13	A. That is correct.
14 actually	Q. Now, the letter that Mr. Richardson
15 letter	sent to Mr. Pepples in response to the Pepples'
16 you	of October 23, 1972, was not necessarily the draft
17	prepared, as you look at plaintiffs'
18	A. It was categorically it was not.
19	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay.
20	THE COURT: That number for the record is?
21	MR. ROSENBLATT: Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number
22	1896 for I.D.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. ROSENBLATT: The Richardson response to
25	Pepples.
	TAYLOR, JONOVIC, WHITE & GENDRON COPYRIGHT 1998V-CALLHRIGHTSGRESERVED
14353	

- 1 THE COURT: Okay.
- 2 BY MR. ROSENBLATT:
- Q. Dr. Steinfeld, did you, during your tenure

as

Surgeon General of the United States, make a public

number	5	declaration as to what you considered to be the
	6	one health problem in America?
	7	A. The number one public health problem, yes.
	8	Q. And what was the number one public health
	9	problem in America when you were Surgeon General?
	10	A. In my opinion, it was smoking.
office	11	Q. Under what circumstances did you leave
	12	as well, first of all, let me ask you this.
	13	Under the terms of your appointment, as
	14	Surgeon General of the United States, was that a
president	15	political appointment in the sense where the
did	16	can fire you any time he feels like firing you, or
	17	you have a term appointment, and explain the
	18	difference?
	19	A. Well, I had a term appointment. Most of
	20	the all of the political appointments read the
says,	21	saying, certain beginning sentences, and then it
	22	appointed, let's say, as secretary of defense. And
	23	then it reads: At the pleasure of the President and
day,	24	for the time being, which means that on any given
	25	somebody can go to his office and find out he's no

- 1 longer, or she, in the job.
- 2 A term appointment is just what it says; it

	3	is a term appointment.
	4	Q. When was your term up? Had you served,
	5	completely served out your term?
or	6	A. No, I hadn't. It probably was in November
	7	December of '73.
	8	Q. Of 1973?
	9	A. Yes.
	10	Q. What were the circumstances under which you
	11	resigned before the end of your term?
guess	12	A. When the President was reelected, and I
of	13	he was up most of the night, he had called a meeting
all	14	his senior staff and cabinet members and asked for
	15	of their resignations, and it went down a little
of	16	further. It went down to the level of the director
	17	the NIH and it went down to the level of Surgeon
	18	General.
	19	And although I didn't have to resign, I did
	20	send a letter of resignation. They did not like the
	21	letter of resignation and requested it be changed. I
	22	wrote a letter saying thanking him for the
	23	opportunity to serve the country and being Surgeon
	24	General, but I got it back and was told verbally that
of	25	it should read that, as is customary with reelection

	1	a President, I'm submitting my resignation. That is
	2	what all of the cabinet officers were doing.
	3	So I sent that in, and that was returned.
didn't	4	And they wanted another one with a reason, and I
	5	have a reason, but I was willing to go, and I one
afternoon.	6	day they posted my resignation, on Saturday
	7	Q. Which meant, in practical terms, that you
	8	were gone; they accepted your resignation?
	9	A. That's right. That's right.
a	10	Q. Okay. Now, just to put this in perspective
	11	little in terms of the history, you talked about the
running	12	Presidential election. This was when Nixon was
	13	for reelection.
	14	A. That's correct.
	15	Q. In 1972.
	16	A. That's correct.
	17	Q. In '68, he had defeated Hubert Humphrey and
	18	now he was running against McGovern and now he was
	19	running big time
	20	A. Correct.
	21	Q. And it was after that, after his victory of
for?	22	Senator McGovern, that your resignation was asked
	23	A. All of them, yes.
was	24	Q. Why didn't you say when your resignation
I've	25	asked for, well, you know, I don't have to resign,

	1	got a term appointment?
	2	MR. MOSS: Objection, Your Honor, to
	3	relevance.
	4	THE COURT: Overruled.
new	5	A. It was I was uncertain who would be my
defense.	6	chief. We knew that Richardson was moving to
	7	I knew that Weinberger was going to come to HEW and
was	8	that there would be other people in between, and I
I	9	ambivalent without knowing who was going to come, but
	10	guess I did find out who it was, and my ambivalence
Washington.	11	disappeared, and I was very happy to leave
	12	Q. Was your resignation voluntary?
	13	A. Well, it was requested.
	14	Q. Now, in The Frank Statement to Cigarette
	15	Smokers, all of the tobacco companies, with the
	16	exception of Liggett, signed on to this and
	17	MR. MARTINEZ: Objection, Your Honor.
	18	MR. HEIM: Dosal, too.
too.	19	MR. ROSENBLATT: Oh, I'm sorry. Dosal,
	20	This statement was made
	21	THE COURT: I didn't even recognize the
	22	voice.
	23	MR. MARTINEZ: I like it that way.
	24	MR. ROSENBLATT: Hiding out.
	25	BY MR. ROSENBLATT:

1	Q. All right. Philip Morris, R.J. Reynolds,
2	Lorillard and Brown & Williamson signed this
document,	
3	which says: We always have and always will cooperate
4	closely with those whose task it is to safeguard the
5	public health.
6	Obviously, as Surgeon General of the United
7	States, it was your task to safeguard the public
8	health; correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. During your tenure, did they keep this
11	promise, did they cooperate with you?
12	A. Not really.
13	MR. ROSENBLATT: Thank you very much.
14	THE COURT: All right. I think we'll take
15	our lunch break before we get into cross.
16	It's ten after. All right. Let's make it
17	1:30.
18	Okay. We'll come back here at 1:30, folks.
19	And same instructions apply as to your lunch break.
20	Don't discuss the case. Don't reach any
21	conclusions. Enjoy your lunch and come back at 1:30.
22	(The jurors exited the courtroom.)
23	THE COURT: All right. You, of course, are
24	free to go anywhere you wish for lunch and be with
25	anybody you wish for lunch. But do not the discuss

1	case, even with the lawyers, because you're still on
2	the witness stand.
3	Okay. Come back here at 1:30.
4	Mr. Rosenblatt, if you get a report, let me
5	know.
6	MR. ROSENBLATT: I sure will.
7	THE COURT: And, Mr. Moss, did you get that
8	document you were looking for?
9	MR. MOSS: We're shortening it.
10	MR. HEIM: We are abbreviating right now.
11	MR. MOSS: I didn't remember it as long.
12	THE COURT: My comments?
13	MR. MOSS: Pardon me?
14	THE COURT: Editing my comments?
15	MR. HEIM: No, Your Honor. We're editing
16	your proposed comments.
17	THE COURT: The ones you prepared?
18	MR. HEIM: Yes, sir.
19	MR. MOSS: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	(A lunch recess was taken at 12:10 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	